

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6512/2026

1. Sitaram S/o Late Shri Chotu Lal, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Village Dardahind, Tehsil And District Tonk, Rajasthan
2. Raja Ram S/o Late Shri Chotu Lal, Aged About 59 Years, R/o Village Dardahind, Tehsil And District Tonk, Rajasthan
3. Ramesh Chand S/o Late Shri Chotu Lal, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Dardahind, Tehsil And District Tonk, Rajasthan
4. Sita Devi W/o Ram Niwas D/o Late Shri Chotu Lal, Aged About 63 Years, R/o 5, Vivek Vihar, Khokhawas, Sanganer Jaipur, Rajasthan

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Competent Authority, Land Acquisition Cum Additional District Collector, District Tonk
2. National Highways Authority Of India, Through Project Director, Project Implementation Unit, Jaipur, D-148, Behind Rseb Sub-Station, Vaishali Nagar, Jaipur-302021.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Akshay Sharma with Mr. Vishal Karnani, Mr. Vijay Kumar Mittal and Ms. Bhuwaneshwari Mittal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. V.D. Gathala, AGC Mr. Arnav Singh for Mr. Sandeep Pathak

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

24/04/2026

1. Issue notice to the respondents.
2. Mr. V.D. Gathala, learned AGC puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Arnav Singh, Advocate, appearing for

Mr. Sandeep Pathak, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2.

3. Hence, service is complete. Notices need not be filed.

4. The present petition has been filed with following prayers:

"It is, therefore humbly prayed that this writ petition may kindly be accepted and allowed and the record pertaining to the case may be called for from Respondents and:-

i) By issuance of appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondents to compute and pay the Petitioners, 30% solatium and 12% additional benefits and other statutory benefits along with interest for acquired land bearing Khasra no. 16/1 admeasuring 7300 Sq. Mt. in accordance with the principle of law as laid down in Union of India vs Tarsem Singh and others reported in 2019:INSC:1061, and in terms of the judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 1773/2021 in Civil Appeal No. 7064/2019 titled as Union of India and Anr. Vs. Tarsem Singh & Ors. reported in 2025:INSC:146, 2025 SCC Online 235, in a time bound manner.

ii) By issuance of appropriate writ, order or directions to the Respondents to decide the representation filed by the Petitioner for determine and disburse 30% Solatium and 12% additional benefits and other statutory benefits along with interest for the acquired land of the Petitioner in terms of law as laid down in Union of India vs Tarsem Singh and others reported in 2019:INSC:1061, in a time bound manner.

iii) Any other writ, order or direction which your lordships may deem fit and proper in favour of

Petitioners may also be passed in the interest of justice.

iv) Cost of the petition may awarded to the Petitioner."

5. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the controversy raised in the present writ petition stands duly covered by the order dated 21.04.2026 passed by this Court in ***S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.20497/2025*** titled as ***M/s Mathuradas Sukh Lal Rathi Versus Union of India & Ors.*** along with other connected matters, wherein it has been held that the controversy involved stands covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Union of India and Anr. Vs. Tarsem Singh and Ors.***, reported in ***(2019) 9 SCC 304 ("Tarsem Singh-I")***, further in terms of the order dated 04.02.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Miscellaneous Application No.1773/2021 in Civil Appeal No.7064/2019*** titled as ***Union of India and Anr. Vs. Tarsem Singh & Ors.***, reported in ***2025:INSC:146 ("Tarsem Singh-II")*** and order dated 25.03.2026 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Review Petition (Civil) No.2528/2025 in Miscellaneous Application No.1773/2021 in Civil Appeal No.7064/2019***, titled as ***National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) Vs. Tarsem Singh and Ors. ("Tarsem Singh-III")***, and the present writ petition be decided in same terms.

6. Paras 9 and 10 of the order passed in the case of ***M/s Mathuradas Sukh Lal Rathi (supra)*** reads under:

"9.Taking note of the above, all the writ petitions deserve to be allowed and are accordingly, allowed in terms of the directions issued by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in Tarsem Singh-I, Tarsem Singh-II and Tarsem Singh-III.

10. The respondent – the Competent Authority Land Acquisition (CALA) is directed to determine the differential compensation payable to the petitioners strictly in accordance with the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court with respect to solatium, interest, and/or interest on solatium as applicable and to ensure payment of the same to the petitioners within a time bound manner but not later than four months from the date of submission of the certified copy of this order."

7. In view of the above, the present writ petition stands allowed in terms of the directions issued by this Court in paras 9 and 10 in the case of ***M/s Mathuradas Sukh Lal Rathi (supra)***.

8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J