

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5268/2026
URN: CRLMB / 9415U / 2026

1. Aadil S/o Sallu, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Ucheda Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Raj.)
 2. Khurshid S/o Abdul Rahim, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Jurhari, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Raj.)
 3. Nafis S/o Nazhar, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Hathiya Police Station Barsana District Mathura (Uttar Pradesh), Presently Residing Village Jurheri Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg (Raj.)
- (At Present all are Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

---Accused-Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5269/2026
URN: CRLMB / 9416U / 2026

1. Sohil S/o Yunus, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village Kanchaner Police Station Jurhera District Deeg (Raj.)
 2. Mohammad Hafiz S/o Asru, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Village Nagla Dubokar Police Station Jurhera District Deeg (Raj.)
- (At Present both are Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

---Accused-Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sanjay Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Naresh Gupta, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIPIN GUPTA

Judgment / Order

01/07/2026

1. The present bail applications have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 against the order dated 24.03.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kama District Deeg, in Criminal Misc. Case No.444/2026 & 441/2026, respectively, whereby the bail applications of the petitioners were rejected in connection with F.I.R. No.74/2026, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg for the offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313, 61(2)(A) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66D of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in custody since 27.02.2026. He further submits that the challan in the case has already been filed, offences are triable by Magistrate. Moreover, the conclusion of trial will take sufficiently long time.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that against one of the accused namely; Aadil one case of similar nature is pending and against other accused Khursid and Mohammad Hafiz, no case of similar nature is pending. Further, there is no case pending against accused Nafis and Sohil.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the bail applications.
5. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and upon a consideration of the fact that the offences are triable by Magistrate; the challan has been filed; trial will take considerable time and further the fact that there is no similar case pending

against the petitioners of same nature except in case of accused-petitioner-Aadil, who has been involved in offence of similar nature at earlier point of time, this Court is of the opinion that the bail applications filed by the petitioners deserve to be accepted subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Consequently, the bail applications are **allowed**. It is ordered that the accused-petitioners namely- **(i) Aadil S/o Sallu, (ii) Khurshid S/o Abdul Rahim (iii) Nafis S/o Nazhar (iv) Sohil S/o Yunus (V) Mohammad Hafiz S/o Asru** arrested in connection with F.I.R. No.74/2026, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg, shall be released on bail; provided each of them furnish a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/ (Rs. One Lakh Only) with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) each, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before the said Court on all dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so until completion of the trial, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioners shall not leave country without the permission of the Court;

(ii) The petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected;

(iii) The petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence; and

(iv) In the event the petitioners are found involved in any other cyber fraud case in the future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application

before the learned trial Court for cancellation of the bail granted herein.

(v) Within 15 days from the date of this order, the accused-petitioners shall file an affidavit before the trial Court/ I.O. disclosing the following details: -

(i) Movable and immovable assets which includes land, buildings, vehicles, deposits, investments all bank accounts and digital wallets, UPI IDs cryptocurrency holdings etc. allegedly used in commission of the offence or which the accused-petitioners have in their name.

(ii) The accused-petitioners shall submit complete transaction, statements of all of their active bank accounts, UPI IDs and digital payment instruments etc. after every 30 days till completion of the trial to the IO.

(iii) The accused-petitioners shall surrender their passport, if they have, before the trial Court immediately after being released on bail. The accused-petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the trial Court.

(iv) The accused-petitioners shall submit to the Investigation Officer a comprehensive list of all electronic devices in their possession, including mobile phones, laptops, tablets, external hard disks and pen drive along with their IMEI numbers and serial numbers within 15 days of release under this bail order.

(v) The accused-petitioners shall provide to the Investigating Officer details of all social media accounts, e-mail accounts, domain registration, websites and online platforms operated or used by the accused-petitioners.

(vi) The accused-petitioners shall mark their presence before the concerned police station once

in a month as fixed by the Investigating Officer and a register shall be maintained for the same by Investigating Officer.

(vii) In case of any change of residential address, the accused-petitioners shall immediately inform the Investigating Officer as well as the trial Court.

(BIPIN GUPTA),J