

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 4579/2026

Munira S/o Moti, Aged About 52 Years, R/o Jurhari, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5025/2026

Mustaq S/o Aasin, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Nehda Police Station Bichore District Nuh Mewat Haryana. (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 5124/2026

Aasu S/o Fajru, Aged About 48 Years, R/o Kukarpuri, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Anoop Kumar Mr. Sanjay Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

23/04/2026

1. The petitioners have filed these bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.76/2026 registered at Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg for offences under Sections 303(2), 317(3), 338, 336(3), 340(2) and 341(2) of BNS, 2023.

2. Since all these bail applications arise out of common FIR, hence, they are being decided by this common order.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in these cases. He further submits that the allegations against the present petitioners pertain to the sale of stolen motorcycles as well as tampering with engine and chassis numbers. The petitioners have been in judicial custody since 01.03.2026. Though three criminal antecedents have been reported against petitioner Munira and four against petitioner Aasu but in all such cases they have already been granted bail. It is also contended that the present case is exclusively triable by a Magistrate. The trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently, the bail applications deserves to be allowed.
5. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail applications.
6. On consideration of the rival submissions and material available on record and in the light of submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on merits/demerits of the case, I am inclined to grant benefit of bail to the petitioners.
7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Munira S/o Moti, Mustaq S/o Aasin and Aasu S/o Fajru**, be released on bail provided each of them furnish a personal bond in the sum



of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J