

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition (PIL) No. 8518/2024

Bhartiya Jan Samridhi Hat Karobari Trade Association

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Others

-----Respondents

Connected With

1. D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5728/2019
2. D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8136/2024
3. D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4515/2024
4. D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4122/2024
5. D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 6204/2024

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sanjay Joshi, Adv. Mr. Banwari Lal Saini, Adv. Mr. Vimal Chand Chaudhary, Adv. Mr. Sajid Ali, Adv. for Mr. Ravi Kant Agarwal, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. G.S. Gill, AAG with Ms. Shikha Sharma, Adv. Mr. Samee Khan, Adv. for Mr. Bhuwnesh Sharma, AAG

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

Order

23/07/2024

Application (I.A. No.01/2024 filed in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5728/2019) for impleadment of applicants as parties in Writ Petition No. 5728/2019 is considered and partly allowed in the manner that the proposed applicants are permitted to intervene without filing any pleadings.

All these petitions, registered as suo moto PIL or PIL filed by other persons/individuals, center around broad issues regarding operation of vending zone in the city of Jaipur as also rights of vendors. While in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5728/2019 seeks issuance of directions for

prohibiting illegal operation of vending activities in certain areas, in other petitions, filed individually, or by way of PIL, orders/actions of the local authorities have been put to challenge, seeking protection of vendors. In suo moto PIL where cognizance has been taken by learned Single Judge, issues regarding encroachments on public way on account of operation of vending zone have been taken up for consideration.

Except in D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5728/2019, State and Local Bodies have not filed their reply. Mr. G.S. Gill, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State and who also represents Jaipur Nagar Nigam (Heritage) and Nagar Nigam (Greater) submits that he may be granted time to file separate/common reply in all the cases on issues raised in these petitions. He further submit that as far as D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5728/2019 is concerned, reply has already been filed and pleadings are complete.

Let separate reply/common reply in all the cases, in which reply has not been filed, be filed within a period of six weeks without fail.

Non-filing of reply may result in personal appearance of the authorities.

Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks from the side of the petitioner. It is made clear that further time will not be granted.

List all these petitions for further orders on 24.09.2024.

The interim orders which have been passed in various cases shall continue till the next date of hearing.

(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),J

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA),CJ

A.Arora/Mohita11-13, 59,60 & 61