

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5089/2026

1. Sarita Bhandari D/o Shri Chittar Lal Bhandari, Aged About 44 Years, R/o KannoJ, Block Bhadesar, Chiitorgarh, Rajasthan.
2. Antima Jangid D/o Shri Fateh Lal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o 25, Gurjar Mohalla, Nagar, Via Vijaynagar, Post Barli, Ajmer, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principle Secretary, Education Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Director, Primary Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. District Education Officer (Headquarters), Primary Education, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Himanshu Jain
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA
Order

18/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed on the post of Teacher Grade III Level 2 and joined her services on 30.09.2023 and 06.10.2023 respectively. Learned counsel submits that the selection process was challenged by number of persons by way of filing S.B. CWP No. 18767/2023 before this Court and the writ petition was disposed of vide order dated 28.11.2023 wherein, in para 11 of the judgment, directions were given that pursuant to revision of the result, in case, name of any person does not find place in the

revised merit list, the appointment given to him before revised result will not create any right in his favour to continue. Learned counsel submits that many other persons challenged the order dated 28.11.2023 passed by learned Single Judge by way of filing D.B. Special Appeal (Writ) No. 612/2024. Learned counsel submits that the aforesaid D.B. Special Appeal has been allowed by the Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 19.08.2025 whereby, directions given in paragraph 11 of order dated 28.11.2023 passed by the Single Judge have been set-aside. Learned counsel submits that after order of the Division Bench, even services of the petitioners were confirmed vide order dated 16.12.2025 & 12.11.2025 respectively.

Learned counsel submits that abruptly without affording any opportunity of hearing and apparently in the light of directions given by learned Single Judge, which have already been quashed by the Division Bench of this Court, an order dated 12.03.2026 has been passed by respondent No.3, whereby, the confirmation orders of the petitioners have been withdrawn and consequently, directions have also been given to recover the amount allegedly paid in excess to the petitioners pursuant to earlier order of confirmation.

Matter requires consideration.

Issue notices to the respondents.

In the meanwhile and till further orders, operation of order dated 12.03.2026 shall remain stayed qua petitioners.

(ANAND SHARMA),J