

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5186/2026

Mukesh Kumar Garg S/o Shri Prem Chand Garg, Aged About 61
Years, R/o Golpura Road, Subhash Nagar, Distt. Bharatpur (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary To Government, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj. Department, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
2. The Commissioner Cum Secretary, To Govt. Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariate, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bharatpur (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singodiya
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

19/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered by a judgment of this Court rendered in a bunch of writ petitions led by **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.18941/2018 (Gulam Rasool Bisayati V/s. State of Rajasthan & Anr.)** and other connected matters decided on 08.09.2022 in the following terms:-

"In view of the above observations, the present petitions are allowed. The respondent Department is directed to count the services of the petitioners for the purposes of grant of selection grade w.e.f the date the petitioners were declared 'semipermanent' with the Irrigation Department. The revision of the selection grades consequently be made by the respondent Department

within a period of two months from the date of the present order. However, it is made clear that the petitioners would not been titled to any arrears/monetary benefits because of the said revision but they would be entitled to subsequent pensionary benefits only."

2. In these circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to approach the respondents by way of filing representation for redressal of his grievance in light of the judgment rendered by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Gulam Rasool Bisayati (supra)**.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. In the event of filing of such representation by the petitioner, the respondents shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

5. The present writ petition stands disposed of.

6. The order has been passed based on the submissions made in the petition. The respondents would be free to examine the veracity of the submissions made in the petition and only in case, the averments made therein are found to be correct, the petitioners would be entitled for the relief.

7. Since the main petition has been disposed of, the stay application and the pending application/s, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J