




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 4296/2026

Teenu S/o Hiralal, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Kukalwada, Police Station Aklera District Jhalawar (Raj.) (Accused Presently Confined In District Jail Jhalawar).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bhim Singh Meena
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Shree Ram Dhakad, Public Prosecutor

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

22/04/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 on behalf of accused-petitioner in connection with FIR No.512/2024 registered at Police Station Aklera, District Jhalawar for the offences under Section 8/15, 25 and 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act.
2. Heard.
3. Considered the submissions made by counsel for the accused-petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor.
4. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely on the basis of interrogation of the co-accused, namely, Pappulal from whose possession the alleged contraband i.e. 45.120 Kg *Doda-Chura* is said to have been recovered which is less than commercial quantity. He further submits that the accused-



petitioner is in custody since 07.03.2026 and he is no more required for any kind of interrogation or recovery. It is also submitted by counsel for the accused-petitioner that no accused can be convicted for an offence solely on the basis of statement of the co-accused.

5. Learned counsel also submits that the co-accused, namely, Pappulal from whose possession the alleged contraband was recovered has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 10.11.2025.

6. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application.

7. Having regard to the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and so also the allegation against the accused-petitioner of an offence punishable under Section 8/29 of the NDPS Act and more particularly the fact that the co-accused-Pappulal from whose possession the alleged contraband was recovered which is less than commercial quantity has already been enlarged on bail, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, deems just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

8. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 B.N.S.S. is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner named above shall be enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- together with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for



his appearance before the Court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

9. In case the accused-petitioner is found to be involved in any other criminal case of similar nature in future, the prosecution may move application for cancellation of his bail.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J