

  
**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN**  
**BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5506/2026

Ratan Kumar Harlalka Son Of Late Sh. Kashi Prasad Harlalka, Aged About 76 Years, Resident Of 7/14, Brijdham Housing Complex, 255, Canal Street, Sreebhumi, North 24 Pargans, West Bengal-700048, Through His Power Of Attorney Sanjya Kumar Parihar Son Of Shri Vinod Kumar Parihar, Aged About 42 Years, Resident Of Ward No.03, Mandawa, District Jhunjhunu (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Urban Development And Housing, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director And Joint Secretary, Department Of Local Self Government, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Collector And District Magistrate, Jhunjhunu.
4. Director, Department Of Archaeology And Museums, Government Of Rajasthan, Albert Hall, Ram Niwas Garden, Jaipur
5. Executive Officer, Municipal Committee, Mandawa, District Jhunjhunu.

-----Respondents

---

|                   |   |                              |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s) | : | Mr. Shailender Singh Balwada |
| For Respondent(s) | : |                              |

---

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI**

**Order**

**30/03/2026**

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the notice dated 23.09.2025 issued by Executive Officer, Nagar Palika Mandal, Mandawa, Jhunjhunu, vide which the petitioner has been directed to carry out the repair and maintenance work of the Harlalka Haveli situated at Ward No.6 of

Mandawa town, District Jhunjhunu, so as to restore the said haveli to its original status and in the event of the same being not done by the petitioner, the said haveli would be recorded under the ownership of Nagar Palika.

Mr. Shailender Singh Balwada, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the impugned notice dated 23.09.2025 is wholly arbitrary, as earlier the Nagar Palika itself has arrived at a finding that the said haveli, being in a dilapidated condition deserves to be demolished.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said haveli is not identified as a heritage property and now, since the petitioner has carried out substantial demolition of the said haveli, it is virtually impossible to restore it back and that too for no valid justification.

Taking note of the above, issue notices to the respondents, returnable by 27.04.2026. Notices may also be given *dasti* to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Till the next date, respondents are restrained from taking any action in pursuance to the notice dated 23.09.2025.

**(ANUROOP SINGHI),J**