


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 5780/2026

1. Umesh Kumar Suman S/o Mahavir Prasad Suman, Aged About 32 Years, R/o 43, Chhapar Mohalla, Village Sanwar, District Bundi.
2. Ramcharan Shakyawal S/o Chotu Lal Shakyawal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Gopal Colony, Baran.
3. Devendra Suwalka S/o Ramoal, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Ward No.13, Near Loha Factory, Gurunanak Colony, Bundi.
4. Jaswant Shakyawal S/o Mukut Bihari Shakyawal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o 107, Ajay Ahuja Nagar, Anandpura @ Phoota Talab, PIP Kota.
5. Arjun Shringi S/o Chouthmal Shringi, Aged About 33 Years, R/o June Haveli, Neelkanth Ki Gali, Retwali, Kaithunipole, Kota.
6. Bhavesh Saini S/o Sunil Kumar, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Near Khande Rak Ganesh Ji, Balchand Pada, Bundi.
7. Jitendra Singh Choudhary S/o Malikhan Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o House No. 9/10, Police Line, Baran Road, Saraswati Colony, Kota.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Mission Director, National Health Mission Rajasthan, Medical, Health & Family Welfare Department, Swasthya Bhawan Tilak Marg, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. Chief Medical & Health Officer, Kota.
4. M/s Vardan Traders, CH-21, Sabarmati Colony, Kota Through Its Proprietor.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Amit Dadich
For Respondent(s) : --

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUNNURI LAXMAN
Order

09/04/2026

1. Heard on the interim stay application.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was initially appointed through an outsourcing

agency and subsequently, he also continued to work with a newly selected outsourcing agency and now a third outsourcing agency has been selected by the employer to supply manpower. The petitioner though was allowed to work with the two outsourcing agencies but the third outsourcing agency has not allowed the petitioner to work with the respondents. It is also submitted that services of the petitioner was likely to be not taken by the third outsourcing agency and they are trying to recruit other persons in place of the petitioner.

4. In view of the continuous working of the petitioner with the previous two outsourcing agencies, now the petitioner's services are sought to be dispensed with the third outsourcing agency and his services are sought to be replaced by another set of new persons even though he was continued to work with previous two outsourcing agencies. The respondents have selected third outsourcing agency for supply of manpower.

5. In the said circumstances, the respondents are directed to continue the services of the petitioner even if a new third outsourcing agency has been selected by them for supply of manpower.

6. Admit. Issue notice, returnable within a period of four weeks.

7. List the matter on **11.05.2026**.

(MUNNURI LAXMAN),J