




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 4040/2026

1. Sohil S/o Farooq, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Singlehadi Police Station Punhana, District Nuh, Haryana. (At Present Accused Is Confined In District Jail Deeg).
2. Shaukeen S/o Usman, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Singlehadi Police Station Punhana, District Nuh, Haryana. (At Present Accused Is Confined In District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 4041/2026

Jamshed S/o Gammu, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Khedlinanu Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg, Rajasthan. (At Present Accused Is Confined In District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 4177/2026

Tarif S/o Akhtar Husain, Aged About 27 Years, Resident Of Bamni, Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg, Rajasthan. (Currently In District Jail, Deeg.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through Public Prosecutor.

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 4178/2026

1. Isak Son Of Shri Deenu, Aged About 52 Years, Resident Of Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (The Accused-Petitioner Presently Confined At District Jail Deeg).
2. Aspak Mohammad Son Of Shri Sher Mohammad, Aged About 32 Years, Resident Of Ganwadi, Police Station



Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (The Accused-Petitioner Presently Confined At District Jail Deeg).

3. Soheb Khan @ Saif Son Of Shri Sakur, Aged About 33 Years, Resident Of Ganwadi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (The Accused-Petitioner Presently Confined At District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 4179/2026

1. Mubeen Son Of Meharchand, Aged About 49 Years, Resident Of Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (At Present Accused-Petitioner Confined At District Jail Deeg).
2. Shaukin Son Of Kallu, Aged About 30 Years, Resident Of Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (At Present Accused-Petitioner Confined At District Jail Deeg).
3. Israil Son Of Nabbal, Aged About 60 Years, Resident Of Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (At Present Accused-Petitioner Confined At District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 4180/2026

1. Abdul Latif Son Of Shri Haji Aaji, Aged About 40 Years, Resident Of Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (The Accused-Petitioner Presently Confined At District Jail Deeg).
2. Moin @ Mohin Son Of Shri Abdul Latif, Aged About 19 Years, Resident Of Ganvdi, Police Station Jurhara, District Deeg (Raj.) (The Accused-Petitioner Presently Confined At District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.



For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Arafat Hussain in CRLMB
No.4040/2026 & 4041/2026
Mr. Harendra Singh in CRLMB
No.4177/2026
Mr. Rajneesh Gupta in CRLMB
No.4178/2026 & 4180/2026
Mr. Ankit Khandelwal in CRLMB
No.4179/2026

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Arti Sharma, Public Prosecutor

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

19/03/2026

1. These 2nd bail applications have been filed by the petitioners under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with FIR No.379/2025 registered at Police Station Jurhera, District Deeg for the offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 317(5), 303(2), 308(2), 313 & 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66D of Information Technology Act.
2. Learned counsels for the accused-petitioners submit that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in custody since 18.12.2025. Learned counsels further submit that the Police after completion of investigation has already submitted charge-sheet in the matter and the accused-petitioners are no more required for any kind of interrogation or recovery.
3. Learned counsels further submit that no any other criminal case is pending against the present accused-petitioners.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail application.



5. Considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the material made available on record.

6. Having regard the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and so also the fact that the Police after completion of investigation has already submitted the charge-sheet in the matter and the accused-petitioners is in custody since 18.12.2025, this Court without expressing any opinion on merits or demerits of the case, deems just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

7. Accordingly, these 2nd bail applications are allowed and it is ordered that accused-petitioners named above shall be released on bail provided, each of them furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lac Only), together with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court or any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

8. In case, the accused-petitioners are found to be involved in any other criminal case of similar nature in future, the prosecution may move an application for cancellation of these bails.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J