

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3961/2026

Banwari Lal Meena @ Raju S/o Late Mohanlal, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Meeno Ka Mohalla, Pachar, Police Station Dantaramgarh, District Sikar. (At Present Confined In Central Jail Jaipur).
-----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through Public Prosecutor.

-----Non-petitioner

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tapeswar Pal Singh Parmar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Tapes Agarwal, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA
Order

07/05/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 166/2025 registered at Police Station Renwal, District Jaipur Rural, for the offence(s) punishable under Section 305(a) & 331(4) of B.N.S., 2023.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the accused-petitioner is in custody since 25.06.2025. He further submits that charge-sheet has already been filed and conclusion of trial may take long time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner in custody and therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.
3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove and it is further submitted that

there are 20 other criminal cases registered against the accused-petitioner over a span of 16 years i.e., 2008 to 2023, in different police stations, involving offences of similar nature as well as other offences. Therefore, in view of the criminal antecedents of the accused-petitioner extending over 16 years, the accused-petitioner may not be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties, this Court deems it appropriate to note that several criminal cases of similar nature as well as other offences have been consistently registered against the accused-petitioner from the year 2008 to 2023 at different police stations, reflecting his criminal antecedents; keeping in view the fact that the accused-petitioner has a criminal antecedents involving 20 other criminal cases registered against him, this Court is not inclined to extend the benefit of bail to the accused-petitioner under Section 483 BNSS at this stage. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case does not deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. bail application is **dismissed**.

6. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J