

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13025/2008

1. Prakash Chandra Raigar Son Of Shri Palaram @ Richpal Raigar, aged about 30 years, Resident of Village Dahra, Post Malutana, Tehsil Thanagaji, District Alwar.
2. Gajendra Kumar Raigar Son Of Shri Sugaram Raigar, aged about 25 years, Resident of Village Dahra, Post Malutana, Tehsil Thanagaji, District Alwar.
3. Koyali Devi Wife Of Shri Prakash Chandra Raigar, aged about 27 years, Resident of Village Dahra, Post Malutana, Tehsil Thanagaji, District Alwar.

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan Through The Director, Social Welfare
Department, Near Civil Line Railway Phatak, Jaipur.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	: Ms. Nidhi Sharma
For Respondent(s)	: Mr. Prateek Saxena for Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

12/03/2026

1. Instant writ petition is preferred by petitioners with following prayer:
"It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that in the interest of justice, this writ petition may kindly be allowed and by an appropriate writ, order or direction:-
(i) the impugned order dated 7.11.2006 may kindly be quashed and set aside with a further direction that the respondent should not engage any agency to engage any other cook/watchman in the hostels and even as a consequence of which the services of

the petitioner should not be terminated;
(ii) the respondent may kindly be directed to constitute a policy for regularization of the services of the humble petitioners in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court as the petitioner are discharging their duties for more than 10 to 12 hours in a day, but illegally named as part time employees;
(iii) the respondent may kindly be directed to regularize the services of the petitioner being appointee on substantive posts.
(iv) any other order or direction as may be deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and in favour of the humble petitioners be also passed and-
(v) costs of the litigation may kindly be awarded in favour of the humble petitioners..”

2. Learned counsel for petitioners while referring and relying upon judgment dated **29.08.2025 in S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.8332/2002 titled as Nawal Kishore Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.** submits that the controversy and the issue raised herein is already settled by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court. He further submitted that instant writ petition be disposed of in accordance with aforesaid order.
3. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for petitioners and also material placed on record, the writ petition may be disposed of in light of ratio laid down in case of **Nawal Kishore (supra)** passed by a Co-ordinate bench of this Court.
4. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioners are given a liberty to submit a representation to the

respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to consider and decide the representation in light of ratio laid down in case of **Nawal Kishore (supra)**, but in case of deviation with a reasoned and speaking order within a period of 30 days from date of receipt of representation. After the decision, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioners either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioners have provided E-mail in their representation. If the petitioners are still aggrieved, they have a liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

5. Needless to say that if ratio is applicable upon facts of the case as claimed by the petitioners, then same benefits be extended.
6. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J