


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Second Appeal No. 134/2026

1. Sharvan, Wife Of Late Dhuda Ram, Aged About 74 Years
 2. Hardan, Aged About 53 Years,
 3. Data Ram, Aged About 45 Years,
 4. Ramesh, Aged About 40 Years,
S/o Late Dhuda Ram
 5. Bansarsi, Aged About 55 Years,
 6. Sanjya, Aged About 43 Years,
 7. Vimla, Aged About 38 Years,
D/o Late Dhuda Ram
- All Residents Of Ajeetpura Kalan, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur, At Present District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.).

----Defendants/Appellants

Versus

1. Prabhu Dayal Son Of Late Shri Hari Singh @ Hameer Singh, Resident Of Ajeetpura Kalan, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur, At Present District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.).
-----Plaintiff/Respondent
2. Kailash Chand,
3. Kabool Chand,
4. Matadin,
S/o Late Shri Hari Singh @ Hameer Singh,
All Residents Of Ajeetpura Kalan, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur, At Present District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.)
5. Kamli Devi Wife Of Hazari Lal, D/o Hari Singh, Resident Of Raghunathpura, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur, At Present District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.)
6. Sunita Devi Wife Of Bhoop Singh, D/o Hari Singh, Resident Of Fatehpura, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur, At Present District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.)
7. Saroj Wife Of Rohitash, D/o Hari Singh, Resident Of Vijaypura, Tehsil Thanagazi, District Alwar, At Present District Kotputli -Behror (Raj.)
8. Assistant Engineer, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. Paota, Tehsil Kotputli, District Jaipur, At Present District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.)

9. Superintending Engineer, Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.
Kotputli, District Kotputli-Behror (Raj.)

----Defendants/Proforma Respondents

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Ajay Gupta
Ms. Shivani Soni
For Respondent(s) : None present

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

Order

17/04/2026

Issue notice to the respondents by both modes i.e. by ordinary course as well as by registered post, returnable within six weeks. Requisites be filed within a period of one week.

Record of the Court below be summoned.

Heard on stay application.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the learned trial Court has partly decreed the suit in favour of the plaintiff/respondent- Prabhu Dayal by only granting him the relief of increasing the load of electric connection by respondent Nos.8 and 9, against which appeal was filed by the appellants/defendants wherein, learned Appellate Court ordered respondent Nos.8 and 9 to shift the electric connection from Khasra No.23 to Khasra No.94 whereas, Khasra No.94 does not belong either to defendants/appellants or to plaintiff/respondent.

The matter requires consideration.

List the matter after six weeks.

Till the next date of hearing, respondent Nos.8 and 9 are directed not to shift the electric connection from Khasra No.23 to Khasra No.94.

(ASHUTOSH KUMAR),J