

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3881/2026

Shivshankar Alias Tejram S/o Murari Lal, Aged About 20 Years,
R/o Ghudasi, Police Station Kotwali, District Sawai Madhopur
(Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In District Jail, Sawai
Madhopur).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tarun Jain with Mr. Durgesh Kumar Jangid
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Singh Rathore,PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

20/04/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioner. The petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No. 14/2026 registered at Police Station Mantown, District Sawaimadhopur for the offence(s) under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 61(2)(a) of BNS and Section 66D of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 61(2)(a) of BNS read with Section 66D of IT Act. It is argued that co accused Dashrath has already been enlarged on bail vide order dated 13.03.2026 in S.B. Criminal Misc. 2nd Bail Application no. 3999/2026 and the case of the present petitioner is not distinguishable from the other co-accused. There are no

criminal antecedents against the petitioner. It is also contended that the amount involved in the alleged Cyber crime is around Rs. 10,800/-. It is also contended that the sim along with the mobile was recovered from the other co-accused Dashrath who has already been enlarged on bail. It is argued that the co-accused Dashrath has named petitioner in the present case and apart from the disclosure statement of Dashrath there is no substantive evidence available against the petitioner that the petitioner was involved in committing Cyber fraud by using sim cards provided to the other co-accused Dashrath. It is also submitted that the charge-sheet has already been submitted and trial of the case may taken considerable time. The age of the petitioner is around 20 years and the alleged offences are exclusively triable by Magistrate, therefore, considering the above facts, the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. The co-accused Dashrath has already been enlarged on bail by the coordinate bench of this Court and the case of the petitioner is on better footing. The petitioner has not used his mobile and the money was also credited in the account of other co-accused. The money involved in the crime is Rs. 10,800/-. There are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner, therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and arguments advanced by the counsel for the petitioner, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner **Shivshankar Alias Tejram S/o Murari Lal**, in connection with FIR No.14/2026 registered at Police Station Mantown, District Sawaimadhopur shall be enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J