

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2913/2003

URN: CW / 4707U / 2003

Raj Kumar S/o Shri Hardev Singh, age 22 years, resident of Bharni, via Srimadhampur, District Sikar, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

- 1.State of Rajasthan through Home Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director General Police, Police Hard Quarter, Jaipur.
3. Superintendent of Police, Jhalawar.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : None.

For Respondent(s) : None.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH

Order

30/06/2026

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayer:-

“It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court would graciously pleased to: be

(i) issue an appropriate order, writ, or direction to the respondents to give him appointment letter as per selection in the merit list.

(ii) issue an appropriate order, writ or direction, directing the respondents to give the petitioner the seniority as per the selection list of the post of constables.

(iii) issue an writ or appropriate order; direction, to consider the seniority of the petitioner right from the date from which the other selected candidates were permitted to join the training on the post of constable.

(iv) issue an appropriate order, writ or direction, directing the respondents keep one

post vacant for the to petitioner till disposal of the writ petition.

(v) Any other order or relief which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper may be passed in favour of the humble petitioner.

(vi) Cost of the petition may also be awarded to the humble petitioner.”

By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 30.01.2001, whereby his services were terminated by the respondents on the ground of concealment of material facts. The petitioner was selected and appointed to the post of Constable (Driver) on 07.03.2000. Subsequently, the Department received a complaint alleging that the petitioner had concealed the fact of a pending criminal case against him at the time of submitting his application for recruitment. Upon receipt of the complaint, the respondents conducted a verification through the concerned Superintendent of Police. The verification report revealed that the criminal cases were indeed pending against the petitioner at the relevant point of time. Consequently, the respondents terminated the services of the petitioner.

None appears on behalf of either of the parties.

I have perused the material available on record.

In the considered opinion of this Court that the petitioner himself is responsible for the consequences arising from his failure to disclose the pendency of the criminal cases while submitting his application for recruitment. Suppression of such material information justified, the action taken by the respondents in terminating his services.

In view of the above, no case is made out for grant of any relief under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(INDERJEET SINGH),J

MG/21