

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3571/2025

Sushila Kumari Saini Daughter Of Shri Manphool Singh, Aged About 47 Years, Resident Of Village And Post Jhansal 2 Jsl, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Medical And Health Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Director (Non Gazzattee), Medical And Health Services And Additional Director (Administration), Panchayatiraj (Medical) Department, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur (Raj.)
3. Additional Director (Administration), Medical And Health Services And Additional Director (Administration), Panchyatriraj (Medical) Department, Rajasthan, Tilak Marg, Swasthya Bhawan, Jaipur (Raj.)
4. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Hanumangarh (Raj.)
5. Block Chief Medical And Health Officer, Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)
6. Suman Rahad Daughter Of Shri Nekiram Rahad, A.n.m., Sub Health Center 28 Mtr, Nohar, Hanumangarh (Raj.)

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Bajrang Sepat for Ms. Komal Kumari Giri
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Verma for Mr. Archit Bohra, AGC

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAMEER JAIN

Judgment

17/03/2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not refute that the petitioner would be covered under the definition of 'government servant', as prescribed under Section 2(c) of Rajasthan Civil

Services (Service Matters Appellate Tribunals) Act 1976 (for short "Act of 1976"), nor could the learned counsel for the petitioner refute that the *lis* in question also falls under the 'service matters', as defined under Section 2(f) of the Act of 1976.

In view thereof, since the petitioner has an alternative and efficacious remedy available in law, the learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that the present petition may be disposed of with a liberty to approach the Rajasthan Civil Services Appellate Tribunal (for short "RAT") for redressal of his grievance.

Considering the oral prayer, the present petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the RAT for redressal of his grievance. If the petitioner files appropriate petition before the learned RAT within a period of 30 days, the RAT shall adjudicate the same on merits pronto, preferably within a period of three months.

It is made clear that the present adjudication, shall not effect the case of the petitioner before the learned RAT.

Registry of the RAT is directed to comply with the directions spelled out in **SBCWP No. 2335/2025** titled as **Mohammad Kasif vs. State of Rajasthan and Ors.**

The writ petition stands disposed of in above terms. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SAMEER JAIN),J