

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4251/2026

Shankar Lal Sharma S/o Banshidhar Sharma

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Harendar Neel, Adv. Mr. Amogh Gupta, Adv. Mr. Rohan Gupta, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. M. F. Baig, Adv.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

09/03/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner submitted his application form for recruitment on the post of Assistant Professor initiated by Sanskrit Education Department for the subject of Samanya Sanskrit. Learned counsel referred the conditions of the advertisement dated 12.01.2024, wherein the candidates were required to acquire Acharya Degree with 55% along with certificate issued by UGC National Eligibility Test (NET) in the subject concerned. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is possessing the requisite degree of Acharya from recognized university and also possessed the certificate issued by UGC NET in Sanskrit subject.

Learned counsel submits that scope of Sanskrit subject is wide enough to include Samanya Sanskrit. Although, University Grants Commission conducts NET examination in Sanskrit subject as well as in Sanskrit traditional subjects including Vyakaran,

Mimansa etc. Learned counsel submits that since, the petitioner has exhaustively studied Samanya Sanskrit for the purpose of getting certificate of NET in Sanskrit and even syllabus of Sanskrit has also been placed on record to show that such syllabus also includes study of Samanya Sanskrit. However, only on account of the fact that the NET certificate obtained by the petitioner is not in specific Samanya Sanskrit subject, candidature of the petitioner is not being considered. Learned counsel for the petitioner also relies upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Laxmikant Sharma Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh: 2025 SCC OnLine SC 2712**, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court, while referring the limitations of declaring equivalence of two different qualifications has held that where the petitioner is not seeking equivalence with different degree and rather asserts that the qualifications acquired by him fulfill the conditions of advertisement then in such circumstances, the petitioner can be considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to serve two copies of writ petition in the office of Mr. M.F. Baig, Adv. and in the office of Ms. Manju Joshi, AGC. Their names be shown in the cause list.

List the matter on 27.03.2026.

(ANAND SHARMA),J