

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 1159/2020

Sh. Sandeep Karwa S/o Sh. Ram Niwas Karwa

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan State Industrial Development And Investment
Corporation Ltd.

----Respondent

Connected With

D.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 1341/2020

Sh. Alok Bagariya S/o Late Shri Prahlad Bagriya

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan State Industrial Development And Investment
Corporation Limited

----Respondent

D.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2030/2020

Rajasthan State Industrial Development And Investment
Corporation Ltd. (Riico)

----Appellant

Versus

Sh. Prahlad Rai Bagariya S/o Sh. Bhawani Shankar Bagariya

----Respondent

For Appellant(s)	:	Mr. Rahul Kamwar for appellant(CMA No.1159/2020) Mr. R.K.Agarwal, Sr.Adv. & Ms. Sunita Pareek(CMA No.1341/2020) Mr. Sandeep Taneja & Mr. Karitikeya Sharma (CMA No.2030/2020)
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Sandeep Taneja(CMA No.1159/2020) Mr. Sandeep Taneja &

Mr. Rahul Kamwar (CMA

No.1341/2020)

Mr. Rahul Kamwar(CMA

No.2030/2020)

Mr. Ankit Sethi for OL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ BHANDARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

16/02/2024

**In D.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 1159/2020 &
1341/2020:-**

It is contended by learned Senior Advocate- Mr. R.K.Agarwal that respondent no.2 has expired and suit qua respondent no.2 was abated on 8th September, 2015.

In view of the same, office is directed to mark with red ink in front of respondent no.2 in the cause title about the demise of respondent no.2.

Mr. Ankit Sethi who is on the role of official liquidator is directed to mark his presence on behalf of official liquidator. Name of Mr. Ankit Sethi be shown in the cause list for respondent no.5.

In D.B. Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 2030/2020:-

Matter comes upon an application(IA No.1/2020) for waiving the defect(s) pointed out by the registry.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Defect No.4 pointed out by the registry is waived.

It is contended that counsel for the respondent Mr.Sandeep Taneja that since, respondent no.1 has expired and suit was abated against the respondent no.1.

In view of the same, office is directed to mark with red ink in front of respondent no.1 in the cause title about the demise of respondent no.1.

Application has been filed under section 5 of the Limitation Act for condoning the delay.

For the grounds mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 95 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Service is complete in all the matters.

Counsel for all the appellants are directed to supply copy of the memo of appeal to counsel for the official liquidator.

List these case for admission on 05.04.2024.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J

(PANKAJ BHANDARI),J