

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal 3rd Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3594/2026

Ramswaroop S/o Shri Ramnarayan, Aged About 50 Years, R/o
Khatipura, Sanganer, Police Station Muhana, Jaipur (South). (At
Present Confined In Central Jail Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Arpit Srivastava
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

01/05/2026

1. The third bail application under Section 483 of BNSS is filed by the applicant-accused **Ramswaroop S/o Shri Ramnarayan** seeking bail in respect of a criminal case registered as FIR No.162/2022 dated 08.02.2022 registered at P.S. Muhana, District – Jaipur City (South), for the offence under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 453, 504 and 120-B of IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter and the investigation against him is complete and he is no more required in investigation. He further submits that there are no chance of fleeing of applicant accused from the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court. He also submits that the

applicant undertakes not to repeat offence and cooperate with trial, which will take time.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that present petition is in custody since his arrest on 12.05.2025 and the case is triable by the Magistrate. He further submitted that till date, no progress has been reported by the trial court, therefore petitioner is entitled for bail. He also submitted that the pending cases are of identical nature and similar FIR is registered for the same type of cause of action.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and submitted that the allegations are grave and serious in nature. He has also filed a report received from concerned Police Station.
5. Heard learned counsels for the applicant and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the material placed on record by both the parties.
6. After an order under Section 156(3) of Cr.P.C. by learned Metropolitan Magistrate on complaint of complainant Paras and Sajjan Kanwar about forgery, fabrication and cheating by transfer of land and false promise, a case is registered and investigated. During investigation, present applicant has absconded and warrant of arrest is issued against him.
7. The allegation upon present applicant-accused is made on basis of a fake agreement to sell in favour of society and further on basis of fake and fabricated documents, the applicant-accused has sold the land to different persons. After declaring present applicant-accused as absconder, a charge-sheet under Section 299 of Cr.P.C. was filed.

Thereafter present applicant-accused was arrested on 12.05.2025 and, a complete charge-sheet is filed against him.

8. The material indicated that after framing of charge, no witness has been examined so far. The police report submitted by learned Public Prosecutor indicated that four criminal cases were registered against the petitioner whereas previous report indicted that FIR Nos. 512/2016 dated 23.08.2016, 162/2022 dated 08.02.2022, 642/2022 dated 02.06.2022 and 1079/2023 dated 26.08.2023 were registered at P.S. Muhana and FIR No.165/2021 dated 06.07.2021 was registered at P.S. Sadar, Jaipur. These cases are identical in nature.
9. No doubt about the fact that there was delay in trial and for speedy trial we can direct trial court to take appropriate steps but considering the gravity of charge, it is not appropriate to enlarge petitioner on bail as his first bail application was dismissed on 23.09.2025 and second was dismissed as withdrawn on 16.02.2026.
10. The facts and the material indicate that the alleged offence are of serious nature and role attributed to applicant is prima facie sufficient to consider complicity of applicant in the crime. Therefore, at this juncture, considering the evidence collected so far, this is not a fit case to enlarge the applicant accused on bail.
11. Considering the gravity of allegations and overall facts and circumstances of the case, it is appropriate to dismiss the bail application of the applicant-accused at this stage.

12. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial as early as possible.
13. Accordingly, the application for bail filed under Section 483 of BNSS preferred by **Ramswaroop S/o Shri Ramnarayan**, is hereby dismissed.
14. The office is directed to send a copy of the order to the trial court by E-mail.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J

PREETI VALECHA /17