

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13879/2025

Naimuddin Guddu S/o Animuddin

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3430/2025

Naimuddin Guddu S/o Animuddin

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan & Ors.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Ashutosh Udawat with Mr. Prateek Saksena for Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

17/02/2026

The present writ petition has been filed challenging the suspension and recovery orders both dated 28.08.2025, vide which the petitioner has been suspended from the Post of Pradhan of Panchayat Samiti Ladpura, District Kota and orders to effect recovery from the petitioner have been issued.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma, vehemently submits that the entire exercise carried out by the respondents is politically motivated and just to overreach the order dated 20.03.2025, passed by this Hon'ble Court, vide which in earlier proceedings carried out by the respondents, the suspension order dated 27.02.2025 was stayed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the respondents' own stand, the proceedings in respect of which the petitioner has been suspended vide order dated 28.08.2025 were commenced way back on 27.06.2022 and the respondents were in conscious knowledge of the same on the date when the earlier suspension order dated 27.02.2025 was passed. However, for the reasons best known to respondents, the present proceedings in respect of which the order dated 28.08.2025 has been passed were consciously kept aside so as to deprive the petitioner from his legitimate right by one way or the other.

Learned counsel further submits that once the proceedings in respect of which the present chargesheet has been issued were pending prior to the date of passing of the suspension order in the earlier proceedings on 27.02.2025, the respondents cannot be permitted to proceed in the manner in which they have proceeded against the petitioner.

Learned counsel further submits that the first suspension order dated 27.02.2025 in respect of earlier proceedings was stayed by this Court on 20.03.2025, in consequence to which the order of suspension was revoked on 20.08.2025 and immediately thereafter on 27.08.2025, the petitioner was again suspended by the impugned order, which in itself reflects the conduct and intent of the respondents.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submit that both the proceedings are separate and merely because the proceedings in respect of the present charges were pending prior to passing of the suspension order on 27.02.2025, the impugned

suspension order dated 28.08.2025 cannot be said to be bad in law.

List the matter on 08.04.2026.

Taking note of the above facts and the entire record placed by the respondents, this Court deems it just and proper to stay the operation of the suspension and recovery orders both dated 28.08.2025 till further orders.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J

JAYANT KUMAR /15-16