

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3430/2025

Naimuddin Guddu S/o Animuddin, Aged 60 Years, R/o Plot No. 133-134, Masjid Gali, Police Line Keasar Bagh, Kota Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Additional Commissioner Cum Deputy Secretary To Government-II, (Enquiry) Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj, (Panchayati Raj) Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. The District Collector, Kota District Kota.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Kota Rajasthan.
5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Ladpura, District Kota Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Kapil Prakash Mathur, AAG with Mr. Saurabh Sharma and Mr. Ashutosh Udawat

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHENDAR KUMAR GOYAL

Judgment / Order

20/03/2025

This writ petition is directed against the charge-sheet dated 27.02.2025 as also the suspension order even dated whereby, the petitioner, Pradhan of the Panchayat Samiti Ladpura (Kota), has been suspended.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charge-sheet reveals that it has been issued assuming him to be Chairperson of the Purchase Committee whereas, as per the circular dated 21.09.2012 issued by the respondent-State as also

as per the order dated 30.05.2024 issued by the Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Ladpura, Kota, in the Purchase Committee constituted at the level of Panchayat Samiti, he is not even a member. He further submits that the charge against him is of influencing the transparency in the tender being Chairperson of the Purchase Committee by treating M/s Jai Mahakal Security Services and M/s Bharti Labor Suppliers as eligible to do work in twelve Gram Panchayats of the Panchayat Samiti Ladpura, which is quite vague and ambiguous. He, therefore, prays that the writ petition be allowed and the charge-sheet as also the suspension order issued pursuant thereof be quashed and set aside.

Learned State Counsel prays for and is granted two weeks' time to complete his instructions.

List the matter thereafter.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner on interim relief.

Taking into consideration the contentions advanced by him and the material on record, this Court deems it just and proper to stay the operation of the suspension order dated 27.02.2025 as also pending enquiry, till further orders.

However, this order will not come in way of the respondents in moving an application under Article 226(3) of the Constitution of India, if so advised.

(MAHENDAR KUMAR GOYAL),J