

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3909/2026

Ishwari Lal Verma, aged about 64 years S/o Late Shri Kishan Lal
-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan & Ors.
-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Nitesh Rawat
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Mahi Yadav, AAG with Mr. Kuldeep Singh Rathore, AAAG Ms. Harshita Verma, Mr. Rohan Mittal, Mr. Arun Kumar, AGC Ms. Yuvika Pilania, AGC and Mr. Om Prakash

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJEET SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

27/02/2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in this writ petition has been considered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Urmila Verma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.** in Civil Appeal arising out of SLP (Civil) No.36217/2025 decided on 19.01.2026, wherein following order was passed:-

"1. Leave granted.

2. This appeal has been filed against an interim order dated 28.11.2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan, declining interim protection to the appellant to continue as Member (Judicial) of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, notwithstanding the judgment of this Court in Ganeshkumar Rajeshwarrao Selukar vs. Mahendra Bhaskar Limaye, 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1193.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, as an interim measure, we deem it appropriate to direct that the appellant be reemployed as a Member (Judicial) of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission forthwith. Ordered accordingly.

4. It is further clarified that the appellant upon reappointment shall be deemed to be continued uninterruptedly with effect from 01.12.2025 till the fresh recruitment is made and/or the rules are suitably amended by the State Government in consultation with the High Court. The remaining issues will be examined by the High Court in the pending writ petition.

5. The civil appeal is, accordingly, disposed of."

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that similarly situated person has been protected by the Hon'ble Supreme Court till fresh recruitment is made. He further submitted that similarly in the matter of **Urmila Verma** (supra), the Hon'ble Supreme Court has directed the respondents to continue the said person on the post in question till the fresh recruitment is made.

Learned counsel submitted that till date no fresh recruitment has been made by the respondents on the post of President, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Jhalawar.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that they are in the process of making fresh recruitment on the said post and the file is at the final stage at the C.M. level.

In that view of the matter, list this matter for final disposal on 10.03.2026.

Meanwhile, the respondents are directed to allow the petitioner to continue his work on the post of President, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Jhalawar, till the fresh recruitment is made on the said post by the respondents in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Urmila Verma** (supra). The respondents are at liberty to file application for modification or vacation of this order.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J

(INDERJEET SINGH),J

Dushyant/51