

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4128/2026

Nisha Agrawal W/o Shri Sangeet Kumar, Aged About 44 Years,
R/o Oppsit Pnb Bank Katra Nadbai District Bharatpur Rajasthan
Presently Working On The Post Of Lady Supervision Under The
Cdpo Nadbai District Bharatpur (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Women And Child Development Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Women Child Development Department/icds Department, Gandhi-Nagar, Jaipur Rajasthan.
3. The Adeputy Director (Administration), IcDs 2 Jal Path Gandhi Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

09/03/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition with following prayers:-

"It is therefore prayed that the writ petition filed by present petitioner may kindly be allowed and the respondent Athority may kindly been directed to place the petitioner in running pay band of Rs. 9300-34800 with the grade Pay of 4200 after completion of the 10 years service on the post of lady supervisor as per the provision of RCS (Revised Pay) Rule 2017, in the term of the Judgment of the Hon'ble High court dated 5.3.2010 and the Judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court Dated 26.11.2013 (Supra) with all consequental service benefits.

Any other relief which this Hon'ble court may deem fit in favor of the petitioner may also be awarded to the petitioner"

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset submits that the controversy involved in this writ petition has already been set at rest by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 05.03.2010 passed in a batch of writ petitions led by **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.2156/2007 (Smt. Manju Rani Nadheria & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)** and such order of Single Judge has also been examined and confirmed by the Division Bench.

3. Learned counsel submits that in the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner may also be given liberty to submit a representation in the light of aforesaid order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, so that his grievance may also be redressed by the respondents.

4. In view of limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation before respondent No.2, who shall consider and decide the representation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of representation along with a certified copy of this order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(ANAND SHARMA),J