



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 3439/2026

1. Tohid S/o Jakir, R/o Garh Ajaan Police Station Kaman, District Deeg, Rajasthan. (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody In Central Jail Deeg.)
2. Munaseeb S/o Hakam, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Garh Ajaan Police Station Kaman, District Deeg, Rajasthan. (Petitioner Is In Judicial Custody In Central Jail Deeg.)

----Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 3590/2026

Aabid S/o Sabbir, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Samsalka, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 3592/2026

Sohil S/o Aas Mohammad, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Samaslaka, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In District Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through PP

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manish Gupta in CRLMB No.3439/2026 Mr. Ankit Khandelwal in CRLMB No.3590/2026 Mr. Girish Khandelwal in CRLMB No.3592/2026
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, Public Prosecutor

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

27/02/2026



1. These 2nd bail applications have been filed by the petitioners under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with FIR No.0001/2026 registered at Police Station Pahari, District Deeg for the offences under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) & 61(2)(a) of BNS, 2023 and Section 66D of Information Technology, Act.
2. Learned counsels for the petitioners submit that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. They further submit that the accused-petitioners are in custody since 01.01.2026. They further submit that the Police after completion of investigation has submitted charge-sheet in the matter and the accused-petitioners are no more required for any kind of interrogation or recovery.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail applications.
4. Considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the material available on record.
5. Having regard the submissions made by learned counsels for the accused-petitioners and so also the fact that the Police after completion of investigation has already submitted the charge-sheet in the matter, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits or demerits of the case, deems just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.
6. Accordingly, these 2nd bail applications are allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners named above shall be released on bail provided, each of them furnish a personal bond in the sum



of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lac Only), together with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court or any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. Registry is directed to place copy of this order in connected files.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

Ashish Kumar /322, 323 & 325