

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 953/2018

Satyaprakash Sharma And Ors

-----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Raj And Anr

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vinod Vaishnav for Mr. Deepak Chauhan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. N.S. Dhakad, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA

Order

12/03/2018

Counsel for the petitioners contends that Rashmi Sharma daughter of Satyaprakash Sharma-petitioner No.1 was married on 14.12.2012 with Abhinav Pathak son of complainant-Brajmohan Pathak.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that Satyaprakash Sharma had filed a complaint in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, praying that for demanding dowry and causing harassment to his daughter, proceedings be initiated against Abhinav Pathak and Brajmohan Pathak-complainant-respondent No.2.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that in the said compliant, proceedings under Section 202 Cr.P.C. were initiated and arrest warrant was issued. Counsel for the petitioners further contends that subsequently police on the complaint made by father-in-law and the daughter of the petitioner namely Rashmi

Sharma-petitioner No.2 had registered an FIR for offences under Sections 406 and 420 IPC.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that in the FIR lodged by complainant-respondent No.2, investigating agency had submitted a report in negative form.


Counsel for the petitioners further contends the said report was not accepted and Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sawaimadhopur on 22.09.2016 had summoned the petitioner through arrest warrant.

Issue notice to the respondents for 11.05.2018.

Till then, further proceedings in the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sawaimadhopur, shall remain stayed.

(KANWALJIT SINGH AHLUWALIA),J

Heena



सत्यमेव जयते