




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3706/2026

Hemant Kumar Jangid S/o Bhudev, Aged About 21 Years, R/o
Naya Gaon Mafi, Police Station Halena, District Bharatpur
(Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Vikram Beniwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

21/04/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred this bail application under Section 482 of BNSS in FIR No.21/2026 registered at Police Station Sear, District Bharatpur for the offence under Sections 305(a) and 331(4) of BNS, 2023.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a young man of about 21 years of age and is facing allegations of committing theft along with other co-accused. It is contended that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and implicated in the present case solely on the basis of the disclosure statement of co-accused. It is further submitted that the recovery has been effected from the co-



accused and nothing remains to be recovered from the present petitioner. He averred that petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation. He argued that there is no need of custodial interrogation of the petitioner, hence the bail application may be allowed.

4. On the contrary, the learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application and while producing the factual report submitted that as per the disclosure statement of the co-accused, the remaining stolen articles are yet to be recovered from the petitioner and the recovery is still pending, hence petitioner is not entitled for benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. On consideration of the rival submission and material available on record and in light of submissions made by learned Public Prosecutor as well as remaining stolen articles are yet to be recovered from the petitioner, but without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, I am not inclined to grant benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 482 B.N.S.S. is dismissed.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J