

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4183/2026

Sumit Mathur S/o Late Shri Chhagan Bihari Mathur, Aged About 52 Years, R/o K-61, Kishan Nagar, Shyam Nagar, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Secretary, Department Of Technical Education, Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Rajasthan Technical University, Through Its Registrar, Rajasthan Technical University, Kota.
3. The Principal, Engineering College Bharatpur, (Raj.)
4. M/s Maa Vaishno Devi Security Services (Placement Agency), Through Its Authorized Representative.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Singodiya
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Takar for Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

Order

09/03/2026

1. Mr. Jitendra Kumar Takar on behalf Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG accepts notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
2. Office is directed to reflect the name of Mr. S.S. Naruka, AAG as counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
3. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayer:-

1. The impugned oral termination of the Petitioner's services with effect from 31.10.2025 be quashed and set aside as being

illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 16, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and principles of natural justice;

2. The Respondents be directed to reinstate the Petitioner on the post of Accountant at Engineering College Bharatpur with immediate effect and to allow the Petitioner to continue in service;

3. The Respondents be directed to pay the salary of the Petitioner for the month of October 2025 along with all consequential benefits, arrears and interest thereon;

4. The Respondents be directed to forward the name of the Petitioner along with requisite documents for screening under Rajasthan Contractual Hiring to Civil Post Rules 2022 for the purpose of regularizing the services of the Petitioner on the post of Accountant;

5. The Respondents be restrained from taking any coercive action against the Petitioner and from replacing the services of the Petitioner with any other employee;

4. During course of arguments, learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner may be granted permission to file an appropriate representation before the respondents-Authority to consider and resolve his grievances.
5. Considered the grievance raised herein, the petitioner is given a liberty to submit a representation to the respondents-Authority within a period of 30 days raising all grounds and the respondents-Authority are directed to consider and decide the representation after enquiry about grievances, raised by the petitioner but with a reasoned and

speaking order within a period of 30 days from date of receipt of representation. After passing the order, the respondents are under an obligation to communicate the decision to the petitioner either by way of speed post or through E-mail, if petitioner has provided E-mail in his representation. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he is at liberty to approach this Court with fresh petition.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition with pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(ASHOK KUMAR JAIN),J