



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2835/2026

Ranveer Sharma S/o Late Shri Sundarlal, aged about 66 years,
R/o Gaira, Police Station Raya, District Mathura, Uttar Pradesh,
Presently R/o 38, Nehru Path, Krishna Nagar Second, Lalkothi,
Jaipur. (Currently in Judicial Custody at Sub-Jail Sambhar Lake,
Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P.

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3337/2026

Vishwambhar Dayal Sharma S/o Shri Sohan Lal Sharma, resi-
dent of Joshi Mohalla, Nabhawala, Police Station Andhi, District
Jaipur Rural at Present 38, Vrijvila Krishna Nagar-2, Friend
Colony, Lalkothi, Jaipur (accused-petitioner is confined in Sub-
Jail Sambhar, Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State of Rajasthan through Public Prosecutor

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 4512/2026

Arun Sharma S/o Brijesh Kumar Sharma, R/o Ward No. 45, 38
Nehru Path Krishn Nagar 2 Lal Kothi, P.S. Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur.
(Currently in Judicial Custody at Sub-Jail Sambhar Lake, Jaipur).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, through P.P, Dudu

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ashvin Garg with Mr. Akshay Sharma Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma with Mr. Harsh Saraswat
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, PP

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN****Order****27/03/2026**

1. These bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS have been filed on behalf of the petitioners, who have been arrested in connection with FIR No.5/2026 registered at Police Station Mokhampura, District Jaipur Rural (Rajasthan) for offences punishable under Sections 318(2) & 316(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, (in short 'BNS') 2023. During investigation offences punishable under Sections 338, 336(3), 340(2) & 61(2) of BNS have also been added against the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel submit that seven other FIRs of similar allegations have also been registered against the petitioners. Counsel submit that co-ordinate Bench of this Court as well as this Court have granted benefit of bail to the petitioners in some of the cases registered against them. Counsel submit that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate. It is submitted that petitioners Ranveer Sharma and Vishwambhar Dayal Sharma are in custody since 21.01.2026 whereas petitioner Arun Sharma is in custody since 30.01.2026 and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion and further custody of the petitioners would not serve any fruitful purpose.

3. Learned State Counsel opposes the bail applications. She submits that several other cases have been registered against the petitioners.

4. I have considered the contentions.



5. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners, especially the fact that alleged offences are triable by Magistrate, as also the fact that petitioners have been granted benefit of bail in other cases by co-ordinate Bench of this Court as well as this Court and trial will take considerable time in its conclusion as well as looking to the custody period, but without commenting anything on the merits/demerits of the case, I deem it fit and proper to allow these bail applications.

6. These bail applications are accordingly allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner – **(1) Ranveer Sharma S/o Late Shri Sundarlal, (2) Vishwambhar Dayal Sharma S/o Shri Sohan Lal Sharma & (3) Arun Sharma S/o Brijesh Kumar Sharma** shall be released on bail provided, each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only) together with two sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only), each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

7. Considering the criminal antecedents of the petitioners, it is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and they shall mark their presence in first week of every month in the concerned police station, till conclusion of the trial. Petitioners are further directed



to not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.

8. Concerned SHO shall enter attendance of the petitioners in the Roznamcha. In case the petitioners fail to mark their presence in the concerned police station, the concerned SHO is directed to immediately report the matter to the concerned Court in this regard.

9. If any breach of these conditions is reported or comes to the notice of the Court, the same shall alone be a reason for the trial Court to cancel the bail granted to petitioners by this Court.

10. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

11. The observations made hereinabove are only for decision of the bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J