


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 4732/2026

RBL Bank Limited, Having Its Registered Office At First Lane, Sahu Puri, Kolhapur- 416001, Maharashtra And Branch Office At Shop No. 1, Ground Floor, Silver Square, Bhagwan Das Road, Jaipur Through Its Authorized Signatory Yogesh Kumar Pareek S/o Shri Mr. Mahendra Pareek.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Chief Secretary Its Office At, C Scheme, Ashok Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan 302007.
2. Officer Of Commissioner Of Police, Police Commissionerate, Government Hostel Circle, Jaipur.
3. Office Of Deputy Commissioner Of Police (East), Jaipur, Rajasthan Having Its Office At Muktanand Nagar, Durgapura, Jaipur-302018 Rajasthan.
4. Station House Officer, Police Station Bajaj Nagar, Jaipur Rajasthan.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Naman Yadav
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Sunita Meena and Ms. Devakriti Vashishtha for Mr. Bhunesh Sharma, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

09/04/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner – Bank seeking directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to provide requisite assistance for taking over complete possession of the immovable property being Plot No. B-21, Basant Bahar Colony, Jaipur, admeasuring 394.62 square yards (hereinafter referred to as '**the secured asset**').

2. Mr. Naman Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that an order dated 16.01.2024 was passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jaipur Metro-I under Section 14 of The Securitisation and Reconstruction Of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 in Civil Misc. Case No. 12/2024, vide which, while allowing the application filed by the petitioner – Bank, respondent – Police authorities were directed to ensure that the possession of the secured asset is handed over to the petitioner – Bank.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has narrated a very strange set of circumstances, that in pursuance to the said order, when the officials of the petitioner – Bank along with police officials visited the secured asset for taking over the possession of the same on 14.03.2024, the borrowers intervened in the midst of the proceeding and disrupted the process, and the police officials rather than taking action against them, left the entire proceeding midway.

4. Learned counsel further submits that the bank has even deposited an amount towards expenses being Rs.1,47,555/-, which is also acknowledged by respondent – Police Department vide communication dated 08.03.2024.

5. The factum of the effort being made by the officials of the petitioner - Bank along with police officials to take over the possession of the secured asset on 14.03.2024 is not disputed by the learned counsel for the respondent – State. However, no justification with respect to the conduct of the police in leaving the proceedings midway could be furnished.

- 6.** It is quite surprising and even shocking to note that the police officials who are expected to protect against any such illegal act, themselves shirked away from their responsibility.
- 7.** Taking note of the above, the matter be listed on 23.04.2026. In the meanwhile, the respondent – State is directed to ensure that peaceful possession of the secured asset being Plot No. B-21, Basant Bahar Colony, Jaipur admeasuring 394.62 square yards, is taken and handed over to the petitioner – Bank positively, and a report in this regard be placed before this Court on or before the next date.
- 8.** The respondent – State shall also file a report with respect to the proceedings dated 14.03.2024 along with an affidavit of the concerned officials under whose authority the police officials visited the secured asset on the said date.
- 9.** List the matter on 23.04.2026 at 8:00 a.m.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J