

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 320/2026

1.

Shifa D/o. Shakil Ahmed, W/o. Mohd. Afran Rangrej, Aged About 19 Years, Resident Of Shahpur Dandi, Bareilly, Utter Pradesh-243201 At Present Resident Of Plot No. A-13, Shivpath Colony, Jhotwara, Jaipur.
2.

Mohd. Afran Rangrej W/o. Ikram Mohammed, Aged About 19 Years, Resident Of 8A, Jayanti Colony. Fakiro Ki Dungri, Badanpura, Brahmpuri, PO Tripoliya Bazar, District Jaipur (Raj.) 302002 At Present Resident Of Plot No A-13, Shivpath Colony, Jhotwara, Jaipur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1.

State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Homes, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.)
2.

Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarter, Lal Kothi, Jaipur.
3.

Deputy Commissioner Of Police, Police Commissioner, Shastri Nagar, Jaipur West, Jaipur.
4.

Station House Officer, Police Station, Jhotwara, Jaipur West, Jaipur.
5.

Shakeel Ahmed, Resident Of Shahpur Dandi, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh -243201
6.

Naim Saif, Resident Of Shahpur Dandi, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh -243201
7.

Shahzad, Resident Of Shahpur Dandi, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh-243201
8.

Nasreen W/o. Shakil Ahmed, Resident Of Shahpur Dandi, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh-243201

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Shri Krishan Sharma, Adv., with Mr. Hitesh Jain, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Arti Sharma, PP with Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPMAN**Order****09/03/2026**

1. Defects pointed out by the registry are overruled.
2. Petitioners are major and present in person. They have duly been identified by their counsel.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are mature enough to take decision of their life. He submits that petitioners have entered into marriage (*Nikah*) as per Muslim Customs against the wish of family members of petitioner No.1. Counsel further submits that petitioners are living peacefully as husband and wife but respondents No.5 to 8 are not happy with their marriage and petitioners are receiving continuous threats from the private respondents, so they prayed for protection.
4. Matter requires consideration.
5. Issue notice to the respondents.
6. Learned Public Prosecutor accepts notices on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 4.
7. Let notices be issued to the respondents No.5 to 8, through both the process. Rule is made returnable within eight weeks.
8. Requisites be filed within a period of two weeks.
9. List this matter after eight weeks.
10. Meanwhile, petitioners are directed to file appropriate application seeking protection before respondent No.4 - Station House Officer, Police Station Jhotwara, District Jaipur West (Raj.)

and respondent No.4 is directed to provide protection to the petitioners, if any such application is filed before him and he would ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

(ANIL KUMAR UPMAN),J

MADAN/291