

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3213/2026

Pradhum S/o Sh. Natholi, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Gram
Kakda, Police Station Khoh, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At
Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Surendra Singh Mr. Anoop Kumar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Sharma, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

25/02/2026

1. This bail application has been filed by the accused-petitioner under Section 483 B.N.S.S. in connection with F.I.R. No.275/2025, registered at Police Station Khoh, District Deeg, for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 61(2)(a), 303(2), 308(2), 313, 317(2), 317(4), 317(5), 318(4), 319(2), 336(3), 338 & 340(2) B.N.S. and Section 66D of IT Act.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent, he is in custody since 30.01.2026 and no recovery is required to be made from him. Learned counsel also contends that charge-sheet has been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose would be served by

keeping the petitioner in custody, therefore, the benefit of bail under Section 483 B.N.S.S. may be granted to petitioner.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove and submits that the offence against the petitioner involves serious cyber fraud activities. He further submits that one complaint has been registered against the petitioner on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal (NCRP).

4. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, the fact that charge-sheet has been filed in the matter and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on the merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, the instant bail application is **allowed** and the accused-petitioner, namely **Pradhun S/o Sh. Natholi**, is ordered to be released on bail, provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the following conditions:-

(i) The accused-petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.

(ii) The accused-petitioner shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.

(iii) The accused-petitioner shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial Court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.

6. The accused-petitioner is directed to mark his presence on 15th of every month till conclusion of trial before the concerned Police Station. The SHO of the concerned Police Station shall send the presence report of the accused-petitioner to the Trial Court on the same day of every month.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move an application against the petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned SHO for necessary compliance.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J