

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3076/2026

Sadik Husain @ Jahid @ Chiti S/o Shri Mohammed Subrati, Age About 37 Years, R/o Talehati Mohalla Kaithun Thana Kaithun District Kota Rural Rajasthan. (At Present Confined At Central Jail Kota).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

28/04/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 335/2025 registered at Police Station Borkhera, District Kota City, for the offence(s) punishable under Section 305(a) of B.N.S., 2023.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner is in custody since 25.11.2025. He further submits that charge-sheet has already been filed and conclusion of trial may take long time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner in custody and therefore, prays that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove and it is further submitted that there are 47 criminal cases registered against the accused-petitioner of similar nature as well as under the NDPS Act over a span of 18 years i.e. 2007 to 2024. In view of the accused-petitioner's criminal background of 18 years, the accused-petitioner may not be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the fact that the accused-petitioner has a criminal background of 47 criminal cases registered against the accused-petitioner of similar nature as well as under the NDPS Act. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case does not deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. bail application is dismissed.

6. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J