

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 3401/2026

Priyanshu Gulpadia S/o Shri Bal Krishan Sharma, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Ward No. 9, Amolak Nagar, Pali, Mahwa, Dausa, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Local Self Government Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Director, Local Self Government Department, Government Of Rajasthan, Civil Lines, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Commissioner, Nagar Parishad Sawaimadhopur, Sawaimadhopur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Himanshu Jain, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

23/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 09.05.2025, the petitioner was placed in the suspension on account of remaining willfully absent and not reporting on duties despite holding the post of Fireman, which is considered to be a post for discharging emergent duties. Learned counsel submits that as the suspension order was passed in contemplation of departmental enquiry, therefore, simultaneously one charge-sheet dated 09.05.2025 has also been issued levelling charges of willful absence.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has participated in the disciplinary proceedings and that the petitioner has also submitted representation for revoking the suspension order, however, the respondents have not reviewed the same. Learned counsel submits that bare perusal of charge-sheet would reveal that there are no charges relating to integrity of the petitioner, nor of causing any loss to the respondents.

3. Learned counsel submits that after 9 months from the date of issuance of charge-sheet and suspension order, the sole purpose of placing the petitioner under suspension is over for the reason that after 9 months, there are no chances of tempering of any evidence by the petitioner, nor could he influence the witnesses during the disciplinary enquiry.

4. In the light of above, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation before the respondent No.3, who shall consider and pass a speaking order on the point of reviewing the suspension order. Necessary exercise be undertaken within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of representation.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the case.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(ANAND SHARMA),J