



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

**S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No.
2987/2026**

Satish S/o Prakash, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Paigaon, Police Station Shergarh, District Mathura (Uttar Pradesh), Currently Residing At Jurhera Road Kaman, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (Currently In District Jail Deeg).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Harendra Singh Sinsinwar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Amit Kumar Gupta, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GANESH RAM MEENA

Order

25/02/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 on behalf of accused-petitioner in connection with FIR No. 686/2024 registered at Police Station Kaman, District Deeg for offences punishable under Section 105 of BNS, 2023.
2. Heard.
3. Considered the submissions made by counsel for the accused-petitioner as well as learned Public Prosecutor and also perused the challan papers and statements of the complainants P.W. 1, P.W. 2, P.W. 3 who have been examined before the Trial Court.



4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case based on false and fabricated facts. He further submits that learned Trial Court has framed the charge punishable under Section 105 of B.N.S. 2023. He further submits that the material witnesses namely Bharat Lal the complainant (P.W. -1), Dharamveer (P.W. - 2) and Babu (P.W. - 3) have been examined before the learned Trial Court and have not supported the prosecution story and therefore they have been declared hostile. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that for the aforesaid circumstances now there are mere chances of conviction of the accused-petitioner and therefore he may be released on bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application and submits that the allegations against the accused-petitioner is of serious and grave nature.

6. On consideration of the evidence of the material prosecution witnesses examined before the learned Trial Court in the form of PW-1, PW-2 and PW-3 and the fact that accused-petitioner is in custody since 21.11.2025, this Court, without expressing any opinion on merits or demerits of this case, deems just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the second bail application is allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioner shall be released on bail provided that he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lac Only)(each), together with two sureties in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only)



each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court or any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(GANESH RAM MEENA),J

SHRUTI SHARMA /5