

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 305/2026

Banshi Lal Singhadiya S/o Late Shri Durga Ram Singhadiya,
Aged About 60 Years, R/o Awho Colony, Sector No. 2, E-14,
Vidhyadhar Nagar, Jaipur

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Shri V. Shriniwasan, Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur
2. Shri Jogaram, Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur
3. Shri Mahavir Prasad Sharma, Registrar Of Board Of Revenue Rajasthan, Ajmer
4. Shri Jagjit Mourya, Settelement Commissioner Rajasthan Gopalbari, Jaipur
5. State Of Rajasthan, Through Its Chief Secretary, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat Jaipur

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Laxmi Kant Malpura, Adv. with Ms. Shivangi Singh Patel, Adv., Ms. Madhavi Vyas, Adv. & Mr. Jeetram Choudhary, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manoj Choudhary, Adv., Ms. Pooja Dixti, Adv., Mr. Yadvendra Patel, Adv. & Ms. Ritu Mishra, Adv. for Mr. G. S. Gill, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

24/03/2026

1. Vide order dated 16.10.2025, Co-ordinate Bench of this Court decided S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.15962/2025 with the directions to the respondents to decide representation of the

petitioner with reasoned and speaking order within a period of four weeks from date of receipt of representation.

2. Alleging non compliance of order dated 16.10.2025, the petitioner has filed the present contempt petition with the contention that the order dated 16.10.2025 was not complied with, and despite the fact that the representation was given to the respondents, it was not decided by them.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents, at the outset, submitted that the representation submitted by the petitioner was decided by the respondents much before filing of contempt petition, vide order dated 08.12.2025, which has also been placed on record as Annexure-R/1.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said order was not communicated to the petitioner, therefore, the contempt petition was filed.

5. Be that as it may, since in compliance of order dated 16.10.2025, representation filed by the petitioner has been decided by the respondents vide order dated 08.12.2025, there is no case of deliberate and willful disobedience on the part of the respondents, hence, the contempt petition filed by the petitioner is hereby dismissed.

6. However, mere dismissal of this contempt petition shall not take away right of the petitioner to challenge the order dated 08.12.2025 before appropriate forum of law.

7. Notices stand discharged.

(ANAND SHARMA),J