

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 2886/2026

1. Anvar S/o Amin, Aged About 19 Years, R/o Chaniya Kala, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg (Rajasthan)
(At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
2. Sahrun S/o Aakub, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Chaniya Kala, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg (Rajasthan)
(At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.p.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ankit Khandelwal
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

27/02/2026

1. This second bail application has been filed by the petitioners Anvar S/o Amin & Sahrun S/o Aakub, who were arrested by the police in connection with the FIR No.283/2025 registered at Police Station Pahari, District Deeg for the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of B.N.S. and Section 66 of the I.T. Act.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the first bail application was withdrawn by the petitioners vide order dated 19.12.2025 with liberty to file a fresh bail application after filing of the charge-sheet, thereafter, the petitioners have preferred this second bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the Police after completing the investigation has filed the charge-sheet and no recovery is required to be made. Learned counsel submitted that the petitioners are behind the bar since 11.11.2025 and the allegations against the petitioners are only in respect of selling weapons through online mode and further there is no person to whom the petitioners may have sold the weapons. In view thereof, learned counsel prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on bail.

4. In compliance of the order dated 23.02.2026, Investigating Officer is present before the Court and informed this Court that the petitioners are using their mobile phone in inviting people to purchase weapons through online mode.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor and the Investigating Officer present in the Court strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that the accused-petitioners may not be enlarged on bail.

6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case; serious conduct of the petitioners in regard to allegation of online sale of weapons and looking to the fact that the crimes in digital world are increasing at pace and the youth are even entering into online selling of weapons which is very serious, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

7. Consequently, the bail application of the petitioners is dismissed.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J