

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 250/2026

1. Nehanshi Chhabra D/o Jagdish Kumar Chhabra, Aged About 20 Years, R/o 123/17-C, Near Goraksh Mahadev Temple, Brahmpuri Jaipur (Raj.) At Present Plot No. 12, Vijay Vatika, Jaishinghpura Khor P.s. Jaisinghpura Khor, Dist. Jaipur (Raj.)
2. Prakash Nawani S/o Jethanand Nawani, Aged About 21 Years, R/o 15, Jagdamba Colony, Jaishinghpura Shekhawatan, P.s. Jaishinghpura Khor, Dist. Jaipur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principle Secretary Department Of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur. (Raj.)
2. Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarter, Jaipur. (Raj.)
3. The Police Commissioner, Commissionerate Office, Jaipur (Raj.)
4. The S.h.o, P.s. Jaisinghpura Khor, District Jaipur. (Raj.)
5. Jagdish Chhabra S/o Manohar Lal, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Plot No. 12, Vijay Vatika, Jaishinghpura Khor P.s. Jaisinghpura Khor, Dist. Jaipur (Raj.)
6. Suman Chhabra W/o Manohar Lal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Plot No. 12, Vijay Vatika, Jaishinghpura Khor P.s. Jaisinghpura Khor, Dist. Jaipur (Raj.)
7. Rajeev Chhabra S/o Manoharlal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Plot No. 12, Vijay Vatika, Jaishinghpura Khor P.s. Jaisinghpura Khor, Dist. Jaipur (Raj.)

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sunil Sharma, Adv.
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Choudhary, Dy.G.A.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BHUWAN GOYAL

Order

25/02/2026

1. Defects pointed out by the Registry are overruled.
2. This Criminal Writ Petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Rule 315-H of the Rajasthan High Court Rules.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are major and both are living together in a relationship. In this regard, the petitioners have executed a consent letter dated 06.02.2026 duly signed by both the parties and attested by the Notary Public. A copy of consent letter has been annexed with the petition. He further submits that the petitioner(s) have given a representation to the Nodal Officer and informed about their relationship, but the respondent Nos.5 to 7 are not happy with their relationship and they are receiving continuous threat from the private respondent Nos.5 to 7.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has placed reliance upon the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Versus State of UP & Anr.** reported in AIR 2006 SC 2522; **S. Khushboo Versus Kanniammal & Ors.** reported in (2010) 5 SCC 600, **Indra Sarma Versus V.K.V. Sarma** reported in (2013) 15 SCC 755, **Shafin Jahan Versus Ashokan KM & Ors.** reported in (2018) 16 SCC 368, the order passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Suman Meena Versus State of Rajasthan** (S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 792/2024) decided on 3.3.2025 and the order dated 1.12.2025 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 1537/2025 (**Priya Suman & Anr. Versus State of Rajasthan & Ors.**) and submits that life and personal liberty of

the petitioners has to be protected, except according to the procedure established by law, as mandated by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

5. Learned Deputy Government Advocate has opposed the same.

6. Both the petitioners are present in person today in the court. Their signatures have been obtained on the court's order sheet and they have been duly identified by their counsel.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and considered the material on record.

8. In view of the law propounded by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of Lata Singh (supra) and looking to the fact that the petitioners have already approached the Nodal Officer by way of filing a representation, it is expected from the Nodal Officer to decide the representation so submitted by them in accordance with law and ensure that after analyzing the threat perceptions, if necessitated, he/she may pass necessary orders to provide adequate security and protection to the petitioners.

9. With the aforesaid observations, the instant criminal writ petition stands disposed of. All pending application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

10. However, it is made clear that whatever has been observed by this Court in the present order is only for the purpose of disposal of the instant criminal writ petition and the same shall not affect any criminal and civil proceedings initiated, if any, against the petitioners.

(BHUWAN GOYAL),J