

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 355/2026

1. Chutto Son Of Girver Singh, Aged About 48 Years, Resident Of Village Viloni, Tehsil Sarmathura, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.
2. Baato Singh Tomar Son Of Shri Girver Singh Tomar, Aged About 26 Years, Resident Of 07, Biloni Basedi, Dholpur-328026.
3. Hajari Son Of Nanga, Aged About 63 Years, Resident Of Village Viloni, Tehsil Sarmathura, District Dholpur, Rajasthan (Since Deceased).
4. Shivnarayan Son Of Jagan, Aged About 71 Years, Resident Of Village Viloni, Tehsil Sarmathura, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.
5. Bhairo Lal Son Of Heera, Resident Of Village Viloni, Tehsil Sarmathura, District Dholpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Panchayati Raj, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat Jaipur (Raj.).
2. Dr. Joga Ram, Principal Secretary, Department Of Panchayatiraj, Government Of Rajasthan, Government Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).
3. Sreenidhi B.t., The District Collector Cum District Magistrate, Dholpur (Raj.).
4. Anup Choudhary, Sub Divisional Officer, Sarmathura, District Dholpur (Raj.).
5. Giriraj Koli, Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sarmathura, District Dholpur.
6. Lalaram Dudi, Village Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Viloni, Gram Panchayat Sarmathura, District Dholpur (Raj.).
7. Heera Lal, Administrator, Gram Panchayat Viloni, Panchayat Samiti Sarmathura, District Dholpur (Raj.).

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rahmat Ali, Adv.
For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

26/02/2026

1. The petitioner has preferred the present contempt petition for willful disobedience of the order dated 24.11.2025, wherein proceedings in pursuance to the notice dated 12.11.2025, issued by the Gram Panchayat Biloni were stayed and despite that Gram Panchayat Biloni has demolished the structure, therefore, the respondents have committed contempt of order dated 24.11.2025.
2. Heard and perused the material available on record.
3. After going through the contents of the notice dated 12.11.2025, the Court stayed the operation of the notice vide order dated 24.11.2025. It is to be noted that there was no specific order by the Court that the shop would not be demolished etc., therefore, no contempt is made out.
3. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of and rule is discharged.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J