

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2822/2026

Rajji Alias Rajak S/o Muhru, Aged About 45 Years, R/o Jhanjhpuri Police Station Kaithwara, District Deeg. At Present In District Jail, Deeg.

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Harendra Singh with Mr. Shivam Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

25/02/2026

1. This bail application has been filed by the accused-petitioner under Section 483 B.N.S.S. in connection with F.I.R. No.36/2025, registered at Police Station Kaithwara, District Deeg, for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 303(2) & 317(2) BNS and Section 66D of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offences. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and he has been implicated in the matter merely on the statement of other co-accused person. Learned counsel also submits that the co-accused persons, namely Shahrukh, Vasim and Tasleem, have already been enlarged on bail by Co-ordinate Benches of this Court.
3. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner is in custody since 28.01.2026 and no recovery is required to be made from him. He also submits that the case is triable by a Court of Magistrate and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, so no fruitful purpose

would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody, therefore, the benefit of bail under Section 483 B.N.S.S. may be granted to the accused-petitioner.

4. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner hereinabove.

5. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, the fact that the other co-accused persons have already been enlarged on bail by Co-ordinate Benches of this Court, the case is triable by a Court of Magistrate and trial will take considerably long time in its conclusion, thus, without commenting anything on the merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Therefore, the instant bail application is **allowed** and the accused-petitioner, namely **Rajji Alias Rajak S/o Muhru**, is ordered to be released on bail, provided, he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the following conditions:-

- (i) The accused-petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or influence the witness in any manner.
- (ii) The accused-petitioner shall not indulge in any criminal activity and shall not repeat any criminal offence punishable under the Law.
- (iii) The accused-petitioner shall attend the hearing of the Trial Court on the date fixed by the Trial Court or as and when asked to appear before the Trial Court.
- (iv) In case of any violation of above conditions, the bail granted to the accused-petitioner shall be liable to be cancelled.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J