

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2680/2026

Vikas Kumar S/o Arjun Singh, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Village Akbarpur, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2681/2026

1. Naseem S/o Rafiq, Age 20 Years, R/o Village Udaka, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
2. Mohammad Dilsad S/o Sosingh, Age 19 Years, R/o Village Akata, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 3315/2026

Ajruddin S/o Sher Mohammad, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Lalpur, Police Station Kaman, District Deeg (Rajasthan) (At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ankit Khandelwal Mr. Surendra Singh Mr. Anoop Kumar
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Shekhawat, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA (V.J.)**Order****05/06/2026**

1. These instant bail applications have been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 44/2026 registered at Police Station Kaman, District Deeg for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 111(2)(a) & 61(2) of B.N.S. and Section 66D of IT Act.

2. Learned counsels for the accused-petitioners submit that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of some applications found installed in their mobile phones related to cyber fraud. They also submit that the accused-petitioners are in custody since long. They further submit that the charge-sheet has already been filed and there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners; that co-accused person who is juvenile, has already been enlarged on bail; the alleged offences are triable by Magistrate; conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, pray that the accused-petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions advanced by learned counsels for the accused-petitioners hereinabove but concurs with the fact that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners.

4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, material available before the Court in the form of charge-sheet

and the arguments advanced by learned counsels for both the parties; that the accused-petitioners are in judicial custody since 26.01.2026; that there are no criminal antecedents registered against the accused-petitioners; that co-accused person, who is juvenile has already been enlarged on bail by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 17.03.2026 in S.B. Criminal Revision Petition No. 485/2026; that the trial will take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

5. Therefore, these instant bail applications under Section 483 BNSS are accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioners **(i) Vikas Kumar S/o Arjun Singh (ii) Naseem S/o Rafiq (iii) Mohammad Dilsad S/o Sosingh (iv) Ajruddin S/o Sher Mohammad** are ordered to be released on bail, provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the Trial Court, with the stipulation that they shall appear before that Court and any Court to which the matter is transferred, on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioners shall not involve themselves in any offence(s) during currency of the bail.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned condition, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to move the application against the accused-petitioners for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail applications and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA (V.J.)),J

27-29/SHIVANI