

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 151/2020

Vikash Kumar Gupta S/o Sh. Pooran Mal Gupta

----Appellant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

----Respondent

Connected With

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 152/2020

Tejveer Singh Rajawat S/o Shri Sajjan Singh Rajawat

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan Public Service Commission

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 153/2020

Deepika Kanwar D/o Shri Nahar Singh, W/o Shri Satyendra Singh

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan Public Service Commission

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 154/2020

Anshul Mehra S/o Gyan Chand Mehra

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan Public Service Commission

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 227/2020

Richa Kumari D/o Subash Chand

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan Public Service Commission

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 229/2020

Sitaram Meena S/o Arjun Lal Meena

----Appellant

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

----Respondent

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 519/2020

Rachana Yadav D/o Sita Ram Yadav, W/o Ravindra Yadav

----Appellant

Versus

Rajasthan Public Service Commission

----Respondent

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Ajadshatru Mina
Mr. Vigyan Shah with
Mr. Akshit Gupta
Mr. Abhinav Sharma with
Mr. Vikash Kumawat

For Respondent(s) : Mr. M.S. Singhvi, AG with
Mr. Darsh Pareek
Mr. Sheetanshu Sharma
Mr. Pranav Bhansali
Mr. M.F. Baig

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA KUMAR SONGARA

Order

19/12/2022

D.B. Special Appeal Writ No. 151/2020

Heard on second stay application in case of Vikash Kumar Gupta & Anr. V/s State of Rajasthan & Ors.

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that during pendency of the present appeal, the respondents have initiated the process of selection for grant of eligibility and the appellants apprehends that during pendency of the appeal, vacant posts of Junior Accountant and Tehsil Revenue Accountant including the vacancies which were earlier advertised and out of which, the dispute has arisen earlier, may also be filled up. Learned counsel submits that the writ petition was dismissed by the learned Single Judge placing reliance upon the resolution of Committee passed on 03.05.2019, which did not correctly worked out the vacancy

position and the actual need of various departments for many of posts.

On the other hand, learned AG would submit that the order of learned Single Judge has been passed, taking into consideration that the Committee comprising of senior officials in the department of Personnel Finance as also Registrar, Board of Revenue, Director, Treasury & Accounts and also Secretary RPSC, which clearly recorded that the Board of Revenue and Department of Treasury & Accounts informed that there is no further requirement of manning posts.

Taking into consideration the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, particularly taking into consideration that the decision of learned Single Judge is founded on the resolution dated 03.05.2019 and the statements recorded therein with regard to no further need of appointment out of the waiting list.

We are not inclined to stay further proceedings, however, all appointments which are made will remain subject to final outcome of the appeals

All interim applications filed earlier are also rejected.

List these appeals for final hearing.

(CHANDRA KUMAR SONGARA),J

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA),J