

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2719/2026

Ranveer S/o Subedar, R/o Amolpura Dheemari Police Station
Sadar Badi District Dholpur Raj. (Presently Accused Petitioner In
Judicial Custody In District Jail, Dholpur)

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Sumit Kumar Jain with Mr. Dhananjay Jain
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jitendra Singh Rathore, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP TANEJA

Order

16/02/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with F.I.R. No.99/2021 registered at Police Station Nandanpur, District Dholpur for offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 384, 395 & 457 I.P.C.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that present matter pertains to bail jump and the petitioner was initially enlarged on bail in the main criminal case by the learned Trial Court. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner went out of State to earn his livelihood and for the aforesaid reason he could not appear on 06.03.2025, resulting in forfeiture of his bail bonds by the learned Trial Court.
3. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the petitioner surrendered himself before the learned Trial Court on 05.02.2026 and since then, he is in custody. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner shall not impede the course of

trial and he is also ready to abide by the conditions imposed by this Court. Learned counsel submits that since, it is the first time that the petitioner jumped bail, therefore, it is assured that he shall not make an attempt to jump the bail again. Thus, in the interest of justice, the bail application of the petitioner may be allowed.

4. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove.

5. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case; the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner; the fact that the petitioner was initially released on bail in the main criminal case by the learned Trial Court, but without expressing any opinion on merits / demerits of the case, this Court deems it just and proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. Therefore, the bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. is **allowed** and accused-petitioner, namely **Ranveer S/o Subedar**, is ordered to be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each, out of whom one surety should be a close relative of the petitioner, to the satisfaction of the trial Court for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing as and when called upon to do so.

(SANDEEP TANEJA),J