

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2836/2026

1. Amit Kumar Sharma S/o Shri Girraj Prasad Sharma, aged 47 years, Resident of Village and Post of Atewa, District Karauli presently working as Conductor at Hinduan Depot, RSRTC
2. Avinash Sharma S/o. Shri Girraj Prasad Sharma, aged 41 years, Resident of Village and Post of Atewa, District Karauli presently working as Conductor at Hinduan Depot, RSRTC.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation through its Chairman Chomu House, C-Scheme-Jaipur-302006
2. The Managing Director, Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation, Chomu House, C-Scheme-Jaipur-302006
3. Executive Director (Administration), Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation Chomu House, C-Scheme-Jaipur-302006

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Manan Sharma for Mr. Shailesh Prakash Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Prateek Mathur

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA
Order

28/04/2026

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the grievance that respondents, even after conducting the meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee for the post of Assistant Traffic Inspector in the respondent-Corporation under Sports quota on 09.10.2025, have not granted him promotion.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without any justification, the minutes of the meeting were not approved and

promotion order was not issued, thereby, unnecessary delay has been caused by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents have filed reply to this writ petition which contains no justification and the recommendations remain pending for approval for more than six months now.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have done the needful exercise, however, this Court noted a serious delay in approving the minutes of the Departmental Promotion Committee meeting dated 09.10.2025. Though the learned counsel for the respondents tried to justify the delay by legitimate reasons, however, all those reasons were considered by the Committee while recommending the candidature of the petitioner for promotion to the post in question by recommendation dated 09.10.2025. Therefore the objections are frivolous and baseless as he failed to justify the serious delay which respondents have caused in approving the minutes and consequential the promotion and posting order to the petitioner.

5. As this Court heard the matter on 15.04.2026 and 24.04.2026 and in pursuance of the same, respondents have now issued the promotion order dated 27.04.2026 by which petitioner has been promoted in terms of the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee dated 09.10.2025. Though the promotion order has been issued but posting has not been given. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the posting order would be issued within a period of maximum three days from today, i.e., 28.04.2026.

6. In view of the above fact, the present writ petition is disposed of.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J

RINCHU /335