

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 2671/2026

1. Faijal S/o Mufid, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Kanhaur, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg.
(At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).
2. Momin S/o Sher Mohammad @ Sheru, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Kanhaur, Police Station Pahari, District Deeg.
(At Present Accused Petitioner Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioners

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous 2nd Bail Application No. 2771/2026

Vasid S/o Umari, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Ghatmika Police Station Pahari District Deeg (Raj.)
(At Present Confined In Sub Jail Deeg).

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Gurvindra Singh Mr. Sanjay Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vijay Singh Yadav, P.P. Mr. Yogendra Singh CI, SHO, Police Station Pahari, Deeg

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI CHIRANIA

Order

27/02/2026

1. This second bail application has been filed by the petitioners Faijal S/o Mufid, Momin S/o Sher Mohammad @ Sheru & Vasid S/o Umari, who were arrested by the police in connection with the FIR No.283/2025 registered at Police Station Pahari, District Deeg for

the offences punishable under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) of B.N.S. and Section 66 of the I.T. Act.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the first bail application was withdrawn by the petitioners vide order dated 19.12.2025 with liberty to file a fresh bail application after filing of the charge-sheet, thereafter, the petitioners have preferred this second bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the Police after completing the investigation has filed the charge-sheet. Learned counsel submitted that the petitioners are behind the bar since 11.11.2025 & as the charge-sheet has been filed, no recovery is required to be made and trial will take sufficient long time, except a single incident of 2024-2025 of an individual, no other cases of similar allegations are pending. In view thereof, learned counsel prayed that the petitioners be enlarged on bail.

4. In compliance of the order dated 23.02.2026, Investigating Officer is present before the Court.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor and the Investigating Officer present in the Court strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that the accused-petitioners may not be enlarged on bail.

6. This Court, after hearing learned Public Prosecutor and Investigating Officer noted that the allegations against the petitioners are of selling articles through online mode to unknown persons, considering the charge-sheet, nature of allegations, petitioners are behind the bar since 11.11.2025, trial will take sufficient long time and therefore without making comments on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to exercise its power

under Section 483 B.N.S.S. and deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioners Faijal S/o Mufid, Momin S/o Sher Mohammad @ Sheru & Vasid S/o Umari on bail.

7. Accordingly, these bail applications filed under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that accused-petitioners - **Faijal S/o Mufid, Momin S/o Sher Mohammad @ Sheru & Vasid S/o Umari**, shall be released on bail, provided they executes a personal bond in a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties of Rs.50,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court for their appearance before that Court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial. The petitioners are further directed not to take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty. It is made clear that the observation as made by this Court in the bail applications will not affect the trial of the case.

8. The petitioners are directed to submit the details of their social networking ID's, the Mobile Phone Numbers & other digital details, which they are using to the Investigating Officer, who shall monitor their conduct for a period of two years.

9. It is further directed that in case, the petitioners are found to be involved in any case under the Information Technology Act, 2000 within two years from the date of passing of this order, learned Public Prosecutor shall file an application for cancellation of the bail.

(RAVI CHIRANIA),J