

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2678/2026

1. Nilam @ Anil S/o Hemraj, Age 21 Years, R/o Ashok Factory (Badanyagaon) Thana Hindoli District Bundi Rajasthan. (At Present Confined At District Jail Bundi)
2. Barindar Singh @ Virendra Singh S/o Gurudayal Singh, Age 30 Years, R/o Subedaron Ka Farm (Badanyagaon) Thana Hindoli District Bundi Rajasthan. (At Present Confined At District Jail Bundi)

----Accused-Petitioners

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through P.P.

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Abdul Rahim Khan
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Tapesht Agarwal, PP Mr. Shubham Saini for Mr. Rajendra Singh Tanwar

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

07/05/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioners under Section 483 of BNSS in connection with FIR No. 51/2026 registered at Police Station Hindoli, District Bundi for the offence(s) under Sections 103(1) & 189(2) BNS.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submits that the accused-petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case; that the charge-sheet against the accused-petitioners has already been filed; that the accused-petitioners namely Nilam @ Anil and Barindar Singh @ Virendra Singh are behind the bars since 29.01.2026 and 30.01.2026 respectively; trial will take its own

time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioners behind the bars and, therefore, prays that the accused-petitioners may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor and counsel for the complainant vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioners and submits that in the statements recorded under Section 180 BNSS, the eye witnesses have categorically stated that, at the time of incident, accused-petitioners namely Nilam @ Anil and Barindar Singh @ Virendra Singh, caught hold of deceased-Mukesh while co-accused namely Hansraj and Jassi inflicted injuries to his chest and abdomen with a sharp knife. Consequently, due to these injuries deceased-Mukesh died on 26.01.2026.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and the arguments advanced by both the parties that it is a case of brutal murder, wherein, the accused-petitioners are specifically implicated. The name of the accused-petitioners are also mentioned in the FIR. In the statements recorded under Section 180 BNSS, the eye witnesses have categorically stated that, at the time of incident, accused-petitioners namely Nilam @ Anil and Barindar Singh @ Virendra Singh, caught hold of deceased-Mukesh while co-accused namely Hansraj and Jassi inflicted injuries to his chest and abdomen with a sharp knife. Consequently, due to these injuries deceased-Mukesh died on 26.01.2026. Hence, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case, does not deem it fit to enlarge the accused-petitioners on bail.

5. Accordingly, the present Criminal Misc. Bail Application is **dismissed**.

6. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J