

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2465/2026

Pankaj S/o Ompraksh, Age 28 Years, R/o Mohalla Nagarpura Jhunjhunu, Police Station Kotwali Jhunjhunu, Dist. Jhunjhunu (Raj.) (At Present Confined In District Jail Jhunjhunu).

-----Accused-Petitioner

Versus

State of Rajasthan, Through PP, Jhunjhunu.

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Ms. Meeta Pareek
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Manvendra Singh Choudhary, PP

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANGEETA SHARMA

Order

13/04/2026

1. This bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. in connection with FIR No. 9/2026 registered at Police Station Kotwali Jhunjhunu, District Jhunjhunu for the offence(s) under Section(s) 318(4), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 112(2) of BNS and under Section 66D of IT Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is behind the bars since 19.01.2026. He also submits that the charge-sheet against the accused-petitioner has already been filed. He further submits that there are no other criminal cases registered against the accused-petitioner and conclusion of trial will take its own time, so no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the accused-petitioner in custody and therefore, prays

that the accused-petitioner may be granted the benefit of bail under Section 483 of BNSS.

3. *Per contra*, learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the accused-petitioner hereinabove and submits that there are two complaints registered against the accused-petitioner on the NCRP Portal.

4. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused-petitioner is behind the bars since 19.01.2026; charge-sheet against the accused-petitioner has already been filed; there are no other criminal cases registered against the accused-petitioner and trial is likely to take its own time. Hence, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits/demerits of the case deems it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

5. Therefore, this bail application under Section 483 BNSS is accordingly, **allowed** and the accused-petitioner **Pankaj S/o Omprakash** is ordered to be released on bail, provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties of Rs. 50,000/- each to the satisfaction of the trial Court, with the stipulation that he shall appear before that Court and any court to which the matter is transferred on all subsequent dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

6. It is made clear that the accused-petitioner shall not involve himself in any other offence(s) during currency of the bail and he shall mark his presence on 1st day of every month in the concerned police station.

7. In case of any breach of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Public Prosecutor shall be free to to move the application against the accused-petitioner for cancellation of the bail before the concerned Court.

8. The observation made hereinabove is only for decision of the instant bail application and would not have any impact on the trial of the case in any manner.

9. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the concerned trial Court through e-mail/fax, for necessary compliance.

(SANGEETA SHARMA),J