




**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**



S.B. Civil Contempt Petition No. 276/2026
CNR: RJHC020132072026 | URN: CCP / 293U / 2026

Prabhu Dayal Sharma S/o Late Shri Badri Lal Sharma, Aged
About 80 Years, R/o Village And Post Sunar Mohalla, Kundera
District Sawai Madhopur(Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. Smt. Gayatri A. Rathore, Principal Secretary, Department Of Medical Health And Family Welfare, Government Secretariat, State Of Rajasthan. Jaipur.
2. Shri Vaibhav Gailriya, Principal Secretary, Department Of Finance, Government Of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. Shri Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Additional Director (Non-Gazetted) Department Of Medical Health And Family Welfare, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
4. Shri Mahendra Singh Bhupar, Director, Directorate Of Pensions And Pensioners Welfare, Rajasthan, Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur.
5. Shri Anil Jaimini, Chief Medical And Health Officer, Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan).
6. State Of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Medical Health And Family Welfare, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Rajendra Prasad Gautam
For Respondent(s)	:	Ms. Tanvisha Pant for Mr. Vigyan Shah, AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUROOP SINGHI

Order

07/09/2026

1. Mr. Rajendra Prasad Gautam, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that in compliance to the directions issued by



this Court vide its order dated 20.05.2024, the representation submitted by the petitioner has been decided, however, the grievance(s) as raised by the petitioner has not been addressed in its entirety.

2. Considering the said submission and the fact that the representation submitted by the petitioner has been decided vide order dated 18.09.2024 and other consequential orders, nothing further survives in the present contempt petition and accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

3. Notices stand discharged.

4. However, it is clarified that in case, the petitioner is still aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondents while deciding the representation submitted by him, he would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy as available to him under law.

(ANUROOP SINGHI),J