

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2311/2026

Mukesh S/o Ghanshyam, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Bagdin Ka Bas, Baswa, Tehsil Baswa, District Dausa.
(At Present Confined In Sub Jail Bandikui, District Dausa).

-----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan, Through PP

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Umesh Kumar Sharma
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Vivek Chaudhary, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEER BHATNAGAR

Order

24/04/2026

1. The instant bail application has been filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of accused-petitioner. The accused-petitioner has been arrested in connection with FIR No. 215/2025 registered at Police Station Baswa, District Dausa for the offences under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 103(1) and 125(a) of BNS and Section 3, 25, 5 and 27 of Arms Act.
2. Learned counsel for the accused-petitioner submits that the accused-petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is argued that the specific allegation of causing death of deceased Kailashi by using fire-arm is against other co-accused Rajendra similarly specific allegation of causing *lathi* blow at the head of the injured Gopal is attributed to other co-accused Ramjilal. It is argued that similarly placed co-accused Bhagwati, Guddi Devi and

Rajanti Devi have been enlarged on bail by co-ordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 16.01.2026 and case of the petitioner is not distinguishable from the other co-accused. There are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner. It is also contended that altercation took in the spur of the moment and the incident occur deceased Kailashi died due to a single gun shot injury. The injury sustained to other injured were also found to be simple blunt in nature. The accused-petitioner is in custody since long and the trial of the case may take considerable time, therefore, the bail application of the accused-petitioner may be allowed.

3. Learned Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the bail application.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and looking to the fact that co-accused have already been enlarged on bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 16.01.2026 and the case of the present petitioner is akin to them. The specific allegation of causing gun shot injury to the deceased is attributed towards other co-accused. The accused-petitioner is in custody since long and the trial of the case may take considerable time, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, I deem it just and proper to enlarge the accused-petitioner on bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application under Section 483 BNSS is allowed and it is ordered that the accused-petitioner **Mukesh S/o Ghanshyam**, in connection with FIR No. 215/2025 registered at Police Station Baswa, District Dausa shall be enlarged on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/-

with two sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Judge for his appearance before the court concerned on all the dates of hearing and as and when called upon to do so.

(PRAVEER BHATNAGAR),J

ashu /25