

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1836/2026

Devishanker S/o Harphool, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Aadalwada
Kala, Police Station Choth Ka Barwada, District Sawaimadhopur.
(At Present Confined In District Jail Tonk)

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

Connected With

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 1837/2026

Bheem Singh S/o Sh. Babu Lal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o
Gambhira, Police Station Sawaimadhopur, Distt. Sawaimadhopur.
(At Present Confined In District Jail Tonk).

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous Bail Application No. 2303/2026

Manoj Kumar S/o Bharatlal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Jadavata,
Police Station Surval, District Sawai Madhopur. (At Present
Confined In District Jail Tonk)

-----Petitioner

Versus

The State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp

-----Respondent

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Tarun Jain Mr. Neeraj Joshi Mr. Durgesh Kumar Jangid
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Jaiprakash Tiwari, PP

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR

Order

27/05/2026

1. The petitioners have preferred the instant bail applications under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.04/2026 registered at Police Station Newai Sadar, District Tonk for the offence under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 319(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 112(2), 61(2)(A) of B.N.S., 2023 and Section 66(C) and 66(D) of I.T. Act.
2. Since all these bail application arise out of a common FIR, hence they are being decided by this common order.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor and perused the material available on record.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present cases. they further submit that though the cumulative amount involved in the alleged cyber fraud is Rs. 2,31,937/- but as far as the petitioners are concerned, on the NCRP portal, one complaint against petitioner Bheem Singh alleges a UPI fraud of Rs. 2,398/- while one complaint against the petitioner Devishankar alleges cheating of Rs. 3,000/- through fake registration/trading link and none against the petitioner Manoj Kumar. They also submit that the petitioners are behind bars since 05.01.2026 for more than five months and twenty days without any criminal past. Charge-sheet has already been filed and the trial of the case will take considerable time, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners in further custody, consequently, the bail applications deserve to be allowed.
5. Learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the instant bail applications and submits that from the material available on record, the involvement of the petitioners is obvious. The increasing tendency of organized cyber offences have significant

impact on socio-economic condition of the society. Therefore, the instant bail applications deserve to be rejected.

6. Having considered the submissions of the learned Public Prosecutor and the learned counsel for the petitioners and after examining all the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the period of incarceration of five months and twenty days, amount involved and surrounding circumstances of the particular area and absence of criminal antecedents, but without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to allow the present bail applications.

7. Consequently, the bail applications under Section 483 B.N.S.S. are allowed and it is directed that the petitioners **Devishanker S/o Harphool, Bheem Singh S/o Sh. Babu Lal, Manoj Kumar S/o Bharatlal**, be released on bail provided each of them furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court with the stipulation that each of them shall appear before that Court on all subsequent dates of hearing till conclusion of the trial.

(PRAMIL KUMAR MATHUR),J