

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 2647/2026

1. Chandra Pal Dabria S/o Gopal Lal Dabria, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Bawari Ke Balaji Ke Pass, Ward No. 18, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Nathu Lal Mondotiya S/o Rameshwar Lal Mondotiya, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ganga Mata Ka Bazar, Post Bagru District Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Brij Mohan Nat S/o Ramjilal Nat, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village Basparsa, Post Borda, Tehsil Mitrapura, District Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan.
4. Narendra Kumar Mahawar S/o Sitaram Mahawar, Aged About 34 Years, R/o 3 Ga, Tirupati Balaji Nagar, Gulab Vihar Ke Piche, Sanganer, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
5. Vikky Kumar Bairwa S/o Prahlad Bairwa, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Village Sitarampura, Chak No.3, Tehsil Lalsot District Dausa, Rajasthan.
6. Bharat Lal Bairwa S/o Pooniram Bairwa, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Pipukheda Ki Dhani, Vpo Toda Thekla, District Dausa, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Sanskrit Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Commissioner, Sanskrit Education Department, Shiksha Sankul, Jln Marg, Jaipur.

-----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ram Pratap Saini with
Mr. Aamir Khan

For Respondent(s) :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANAND SHARMA

Order

23/02/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset submitted that feeling aggrieved by wrong placement of petitioners' seniority, although they had submitted representations

before the competent authority, yet the same has not been decided, which is causing serious prejudice to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the light of judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Pawan Meena Vs. The State of Rajasthan & Ors.** in **S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.1665/2024** decided on 02.02.2024, it has been held that whenever representation is filed, the same shall be addressed by the competent authority and despite the fact that the State Government has also issued general circular for making necessary compliance of judgment in the case of **Pawan Meena (supra)**, till date no order has been passed by the competent authority.

3. In view of limited grievance raised by learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court deems it just and proper to dispose of this writ petition with direction to the respondent No.2 for deciding the representations dated 27.03.2025, 07.04.2025 and 24.01.2026 within a period of six weeks from the date of such representation along with a certified copy of this order.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand(s), disposed of.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(ANAND SHARMA),J